6 NOVEMBER 1947

INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

fense' Witnesses	Page
TANAKA, Takeo (resumed)	32528
Direct (cont'd) by Mr. Brooks	32528
(Witness excused)	32544
Budarin, Boris Alexandrovich	32570
Direct by General Vasiliev	32570
Questions by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	32571
(Witness excused)	32577
OSUGI, Hiroshi	32587
Direct by Mr. Mattice	32587
(Witness excused)	32593
NOON RECESS	32593
NAKASAWA, Mitsuo	32619
Direct by Mr. Mattice	32619
Cross by Brigadier Nolan	32631
AFTERNOON RECESS	32635

6 NOVEMBER 1947

INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

(cont'd)

Defense' Witnesses	Page
NAKASAWA, Mitsuo (cont'd)	
Cross (cont'd) by Brigadier Nolan	32636
Questions by the Fresident of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	32643
(Witness excused)	32645
IINUMA, Mamoru (recalled)	32646
Direct by Mr. Mattice	32646
Cross by Brigadier Nolan	32653

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

	No.	No.	Pros.	Description	For Ident.E	In vidence
	2564	3390		Affidavit of TANAKA, Takeo		32528
	2213	3391		Affidavit of F. S. G. Piggott, Major- General, Military Attache to the British Embassy, Tokyo		32546
		3384-A		Letter dated 14 July 19 addressed to the President of the International Milit Tribunal for the Fa East re Correction Exhibit No. 158 (Re Pages 1441 to 1443)	ary r of cord	
	3229		3392	Certificate re Death of KITA, Seichi, forme General of the Japa Army, Japanese POW, who died in Habarov USSR 7 August 1947	r nese	32557
				MORNING RECESS		32567
	2238	3393		Affidavit of OSUGI, Hire	oshi	32588
	2668	3394		Affidavit of OUCHI, Yoshihide		32594
*	2657	3395		Affidavit of WAKIZAKA,		32601

6 NOVEMBER 1947

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

	Def. Pros. No. No.		In Evidence
2714	3396	Affidavit of NISHIJIMA, Takeshi	32609
2715	3397	Instructions distributed among the entire Central China Expeditionary Forces on 18 December 1937 by MATSUI, Commander of the Central China Ex- peditionary Forces	32616
2764	3397 - A	Certificate of non-availability of original of the above-mentioned documents	32616
2667	3398	Affidavit of NAKASAWA, Mitsuo	32621
2626	3399	Affidavit of IINUMA, Mamoru	32647

Thursday, 6 November 1947 2 3 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL 4 FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal 5 War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan 6 7 The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, 8 9 at 0930. 10 11 Appearances: 12 For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with 13 the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE R. B. PAL, Member 14 from India, not sitting from 0930 to 1600; HONORABLE 15 JUSTICE E. H. NORTHCROFT, Member from the Dominion of 16 New Zealand, not sitting from 1330 to 1600. 17 For the Prosecution Section, same as before. 18 For the Defense Section, same as before. 19 20 (English to Japanese and Japanese 21 to English interpretation was made by the 22 Language Section, INTFE.) 23

24

Forse & Whalen

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE FRESIDENT: All of the accused are present except MATSUI and OSHIMA, who are represented by counsel. The prison surgeon at Sugamo certifies that MATSUI is too ill to attend the trial today. His certificate will be recorded and filed.

With the Tribunal's permission the accused OSHIMA will be absent from the courtroom for the whole of the morning session conferring with his counsel.

Language ARBITER (Captain Kraft): If the Tribunal please, we submit the following language correction. Reference: Exhibit 3388, page 2, line 16. Delete "the likes of" and substitute "even such a thing as."

TAKEO TANAKA,

3

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

25

called as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

MR. BROOKS: May defense document 2564 be given an exhibit number?

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2564 will receive exhibit No. 3390.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3390 and received in evidence.)

MR. BROOKS: I will now read defense document 2564, exhibit No. 3390, omitting the formal and excepted parts.

"In the 45th year of Meiji (1912), I was graduated from the Meiji University, and after passing the civil service examination, entered the government service.

"I was appointed the Secretary of the Government-General of Korea in 1919, was appointed the Director of the Police Affairs Bureau of the same Government-General in 1936, and, after retiring from the service in September of the same year, returned to Japan and was out of service. Then I was appointed

11

13

17

19

20

21 22

the Vice-Minister for Overseas Affairs in April of 1939, and resigned the post in October of 1940. I was appointed the Civil Governor of the Government-General of Korea in May of 1942, and as soon as I resigned in July of 1944 and returned home, I was appointed the Secretary-General to the Cabinet. Later I resigned the post of the Secretary-General on February 1, 1945, and was nominated to the House of Peers. I resigned from the House of Peers in February of 1946.

"It was since about August of 1932 that I became acquainted with General KOISO, but my political connection with him began in April of 1939, when the General was appointed the Minister for Overseas Affairs in the HIRANUMA Cabinet, and I served as the Vice-16 Minister under him. Since then I have been acquainted with him until this day. Therefore, I will refer to the following points concerning the General.

"1. The General's view on the so-called southward expansion question.

"When the General was the Minister for Overseas Affairs, namely, between 1939 and 1940, the socalled southward expansion question, that is, economic expansion in the southern area was sought, became a subject of much discussion in the country. Just at

1 2 3

that time the HIRANUMA Cabinet was in power and the question how to determine the attitude toward the Japanese-German-Italy Tri-Partite Alliance, as well as that of southward expansion, were befalling the government as the matters of national importance. In addition, the government's attitude toward these questions was so serious a matter as would decide the course of our country that every foreign nation was, with keen eyesight, watching the movements of our state. So I would like to elucidate the General's attitude toward these two questions. The cabinet of the time made it a rule to hold the Five Ministers' Conference, to-wit, another meeting by the Premier and Ministers of War, Navy, Foreign Affairs and Finance, besides the Cabinet Meeting, and to talk over very important matters.

"The Tri-Partite Alliance question was, of course, discussed and studied in this Conference. The Minister of Overseas Affairs, however, was not a member of the Conference and was nothing but an outsider holding a sinecure. So he was never consulted about any matter as a member of the cabinet. But the General held, as his private opinion that in view of the complicated international situation our foreign policy was not so simple as to be necessarily pro-Italo-German, if not pro-Anglo-American; or to be essentially

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

pro-Anglo-American, if not pro-Italo-German. That is to say, it could not be that our foreign policy should be either the right or the left.

"Therefore proper steps should be taken lest we should remorse in future for our erroneously directing the course of the state by taking rash actions now. KOISO expressed himself to the effect that it was advisable at this moment to give up concluding the alliance in order to avoid producing such unfavorable results as to be vainly made use of by Germany. On one occasion KOISO stated this view opposing the foreign alliance privately to the Premier and the Foreign Minister. As regards the southward economic expansion question he was of opinion that the aimless advocacy of the southward economic expansion was liable to make the foreign nations mistake our intention for that of expanding to the southern area with territorial ambitions, and if so, it would be greatly different from our true intention; that accordingly the necessity of our economic expansion must be explained with such an attitude of mind as convincing everyone of the necessity for reasonable economic expansion of our nation, and that for that purpose the dogged advocacy of the southward economic expansion would not do, but so long as the expansion was a reasonable and economic

*

-

17 18

19

20

22

23

24

10

13

15 16

17

19

20

21 22

23

25

one for the sake of our nation's self-support, expansion either to the south or to the north will do, and it was not always necessary to emphasize a one-sided view. The General also held the view on population problems that it would be difficult to encourage a large population of Japanese to emigrate to the southern areas as, in the light of the actual results in the past, the southern climate did not seem to suit the Japanese.

"2. His state of mind and determination when he accepted the Imperial Command to form a cabinet.

"It was on July 18th of 1944 that General KOISO was summened by the Throne to be ordered to form a new cabinet. The General, at that time at his post as the Governor-General of Korea, was informed of nothing about the war situation except from official announcements by the Army and Government. Various informations, however, made us feel that the fact was that the war situation was more unfavorable for Japan than was announced and that the naval power had sustained heavy losses, for, at this juncture, the fall of Saipan was officially announced. Therefore, not only were we deeply impressed that the war situation was really unfavorable, but also felt that most of the gloomy

news which had come to our ears in the past should have been true. On the other hand, the general public, who had up to that time half believed and half doubted various rumors about the unfavorable war situation of our country, began about this time to be pessimistic about the prospects of war rather than deeply suspicious about it. Such a state of things was not merely in Korea but also even at home. It was only natural that it should have been the case in Korea. Under these circumstances General KOISO accepted the Imperial Command to form a new cabinet. When at first he received a telephone call from the Grand Chamberlain about the Imperial Summons, the General said that if the Emperor would by any chance order him to form a new cabinet he had to give serious consideration to what sort of resolution he ought to make in forming a cabinet. Therefore, after most careful consideration, he determined to form a new cabinet according to the principles as outlined below, to-wit:

"'A. At present the war situstion is very unfavorable for us. We are now defeated and in retreat, and now that even Saipan fell already into the enemy's hands, the prospects of war are really serious. Although we have, of course, an eager desire to survive by exhausting every means, it will be most difficult

20

21

to do so because of the present circumstances of being

3

á

6

1

-

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

22

24 25 overwhelmed by the enemy in the armed conflicts, and because of successive lowering of production in the industrial warfare.

"'A farsignted national policy seriously

required us not only to hold in check in any way the enemy's rushing force at this moment, but also to take a cool view of how to bring the war to a conclusion. The question rather lies here. For that purpose it is a matter of the greatest urgency to win the hearts of our people which are recently apt to be alienated from the government, and the conditions of the armed conflict and of the economic warfare, the people only become depressed in spirit, and it is feared that, whatever attempt the government may make, it could have no influence nor authority over the people. Such being the case, he felt confident of the absolute necessity of bracing up our people in order to increase the productive power by anyhow smashing the enemy's scheme for invesion and of gaining some time there to take steps to negotiste peace on full scale through the third powers, including the Soviet Union, as well es to proceed with a prompt peace move toward China. '

"B. In order to accomplish the above purpose he stated that the Prime Minister, assuming the

etown

TANAKA

adjustment and combination of both the political and military tactics from the standpoint of the whole of the state affairs, otherwise perfect administration of the state affairs could not be realized in bringing to a conclusion this great war. Accordingly, the head of the cabinet at this moment ought to be placed in a position enabling him to perform such function.'

"He came up to Tokyo with these thoughts we had discussed in mind and with the serious determination given above.

"After having an audience with His Majesty
he was ordered to form a new cabinet together with
Admiral YONAI, so he acted up to his original principle.
He intended to assign the portfolio of Navy to Admiral
YONAI, and hoped to secure a Minister of War who would
help carry out their program to establish peace.

"In addition, he expressed his resolution that he wished to decide whether or no he would comply with the Imperial Command to form a new cabinet on condition that the ordinance concerned should be revised at that moment so as to qualify the Premier for attending the meetings of the Imperial Headquarters, or else that a strong and simple organ of directing the war affairs should be established with a few persons as its members.

He received a promise that a definite answer might be given. To this the Army, after Three Chief's Conference, replied that the war should be carried on, that Field Marshal SUGIYAMA would be recommended for the portfolio of the Army, and that as to the creation of the War Direction Council they would meet the General's desire. On the part of the Navy a reply was made to the effect that the post of the Navy Minister should be assigned to YONAI, and that they had no objection to creating the said council. Then KOISO, after consulting with Admiral YONAI, decided to approve of them and completed the formation of the cabinet.

"3. The organization of the Supreme War Direction Council and the actual condition of its operation.

1.9

"The members of this council were the Chief of 2 the Army General Staff and the Chief of the Naval General Staff, the Minister of War, the Minister of Navy, the Prime Minister and the Minister for Foreign Affairs, the Secretaries being the Secretary-General to the Cabinet, the Director of the Military Affairs Bureau and the Director of the Naval Affairs Bureau. It was decided that besides them, both the Vice-Chiefs of the General Staffs of the Army and Navy might attend the Council on proper occasions and that the members of the cabinet concerned were to attend it according to the contents of the subjects. It was also decided that, when the war situation was reported, every member of the Cabinet, the Director of the Legislation Pureau and the Director of the Planning Bureau were also to attend. The main matters to be discussed in the council related to the harmonization and adjustment between the Supreme Command and state affairs. In this council there were no chairmen or such like, but the Premier was to be charged with the expediting the proceedings.

"The main points of the council was the harmonization and adjustment between the supreme command and state affairs as given above.

"As for the secrets of operations and tactics concerning the war, the Premier was not able to touch

22

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

24

them but was a total outsider. As, nevertheless,
Premier KOISO happened to be a General, he sometimes
questioned and expressed his views about the matters
relating to the details of operations. Generally speaking, however, an attitude not desiring to have the
Premier meddle with the tactical affairs was found among
the officers of the Army and Navy including the members
of the Council. They challenged him when he wished to
touch their secrets. The movements and the degree of
demages of the Combined Flect, for instance, were in no
case informed of. Concerning the operations of the front
lines of the Army and Navy, he only heard of the general
wer situation, when it was reported, in company to the
cabinet colleagues.

"In short, the Premier had a great expectation at the outset for the council, and yet the actual circumstances were, as mentioned above, against his expectation. Such being the case, since it was impossible to materialize the primary principle decided at the time of forming the cabinet, KOISO finally asked the Throne to issue an Imperial order authorizing the Fremier to attend the conferences of the Imperial Headquarters.

"However, as for his attending the Imperial Headquarters, the authority of the Premier was confined to being informed of the condition of operations and

1 teetics and he was not vested with the authority to set 2 forth his view and to have a share in the discussions 3 in the conference on operations and tactics. Therefore, KOISO was not placed in the position at which he could have his views from a standpoint of the general state affairs reflect on the direction of the front line. Now the Fremier discussed the idea that after all that it would be impossible to carry forward his ideas for ending the war, unless he would return to actual service and hold the additional portfolio of War Minister, to 10 perfect the adjustment of the supreme command to the 11 state affairs. He took steps to get this power but in spite of his effort to get back on the active list of the army so he could hold the concurr nt portfolio of War Minister he could not obtain approval necessary from the Three Chiefs of the Army. By the way, I would like 17 to add here a special remark. It is that Premier KOISO took pains, in the Supreme War Direction Council, 19 for making the moves toward the Soviet Union and China 20 relative to concluding the war. 21 "4. The general's view and attitude in regard 22 to the disposition of the China Affair.

24

follows:

23

"'Originally speaking, Japan ought not rashly

"The General's view on the China Affair was as

1 teetics and he was not vested with the authority to set 2 forth his view and to have a share in the discussions 3 in the conference on operations and tactics. Therefore, 4 KOISO was not placed in the position at which he could 5 have his views from a standpoint of the general state affairs reflect on the direction of the front line. Now the Fremier discussed the idea that after all that it would be impossible to carry forward his ideas for ending the war, unless he would return to actual service and hold the additional portfolio of "ar Minister, to perfect the adjustment of the supreme command to the 11 state affairs. He took steps to get this power but in spite of his effort to get back on the active list of the army so he could hold the concurr nt portfolio of War Minister he could not obtain approval necessary from the Three Chiefs of the Army. By the way, I would like 17 to edd here a special remark. It is that Premier KOISO took pains, in the Supreme War Direction Council, 19 for making the moves toward the Soviet Union and China 20 relative to concluding the war. 21 "4. The general's view and attitude in regard

22

24

"The General's view on the China Affair was as follows:

to the disposition of the China Affair.

25

"'Originally speaking, Japan ought not rashly

medale in China. It is inevitable that once Japan is involved in China she will be drifted into a fix as if stepping into a swamp. Accordingly, we ought, for Japan's 4 sake, to be most cautious against wasting our national 5 power, being plunged in such a sad plight. Unfortunate-6 ly, however, that Japan and China became at war with 7 each other is really so deplorable a matter that we 8 must lose no time in recovering peace and restoring the 9 relation of true coop, ration to both States.' "This view which he had held consistently could 11 not manifest itself in concrete actions till the General 12 essumed the premiership. On his being appointed Premier 13 later, he firmly urged this view onward. Namaly, he, while in office as the Premier, left nothing undone to try to succeed in a peace move toward the Chungking Government. Also in the Supreme War Direction Council, he repeated deliberation on this matter, taking pains over meterializing his original intention, but he 19 failed in the end due to various circumstances that will 20 be explained. For instance I think that Miu Pin Issue, one of the movements of the KOISO Cabinet to restore 23 peace, serves the purpose of proving how the General 24 was intent on the realization of peace with China, apart 25 from the questions whether or not he dealt with the issue adroitly and what its outcome was.

"I would like here to explain the Miu Pin Issue. The said man named Miu Pin was of Central China and once served as the Vice-President of the Hsin-Min-Hui (New Nation Association) of North China. He was later appointed the President of the Legislative Yuan (Pureau) of the Nanking Government and then relegated to the Vice-President of the Examination Yuan (Bureau). As he was once the instructor at the Huangpu Military Academy, he had a considerable acquaintance with those army men around Chiang Kia-shek and seemed to have been intimate with, for instance, Yo Ying-chin. He visited this country in 1939 when he was the Vice-President of the Hsin-Min-Mui. On that occasion, General KOISO met him by my introduction. Miu unbosomed himself, explaining the reason for the real necessity of cooperation between Japan and China, so there was much sympathy between them. Ever since they had no particular connection with each other. After the General assumed the premiership, however, he was urged by Minister of State OGATA to send for Miu Pin to hear his opinion about the peace question between the two countries. On the part of Premier KOISO, it happened that, as the diplomatic operations toward Chungking came to all but a deadlock, he, as the Premier, was very worried at heart about it and was desirous of breaking it by some means. Therefore

11

14

15

16

18 19

20

21

22

11

13 14

15

16 17

19

20 21

22 23

he thought that, although it was uncertain how much influence Miu Pin should have upon the Chungking Government, it should be fortunate if he would be of any use to settling the peace question between Japan and China and that, if a step was taken further and a direct connection could be established with Chungking, it should be still more favorable. With such an idea, he took Minister OGATA's advice and tried to first bring him to Japan to hear his opinion well and then to decide our attitude and policy concerning whether or not they should make use of him. However, as a result of this plan having met with the opposition from both the Army and diplomatic circles, everything came to a deadlock and ended in failure except the success in bringing Miu Pin to Japan. In consequence, the said Miu returned to Nanking in vain.

"KOISO also took great pains in order to bring about peace at large through the medium of the Soviet Union. Not only did he make moves in various ways at home but also the negotiations were entered into with the Soviet Union, but unfortunately they ended in failure.

"It was as mentioned above that he as well gave his constant energies to realizing the peace between Japan and China. As to these diplomatic moves,

sometimes his opinion on procedure did not agree with the Foreign Minister and others to bring about peace. So far as I know, that he dared to try to realize what he believed good for all the adverse circumstances was because he was confident that it would serve the state to materialize his primary intention which he had charished at the outset of forming the cabinet.

"5. On his speech in the 85th Session of the liet.

stances as given above, the 85th Session was at hand.
So Premier KOISO delivered a speech on the government policy at the beginning of the Session to make his opinion clear. The contents of his speech was nothing but a concrete expression of his determination with regard to forming the cabinet. Namely, in this speech, he required the hundred million people to brace themselves up in unison for the task shead and he declared the Indonesians' independence to be justifiable, because every race is entitled to independence and to be able to maintain a proper position among other nations and for that reason he also proclaimed that the Korean and Formosan people should quickly be vested with suffrage.

"6. As for the treatment of war prisoners, the Supreme Command and the Ministers of War and Navy took

charge of these matters and the Prime Ministerneither had the authority over it nor assumed the responsibility for it. Accordingly, the cabinet at no time dealt with affairs relating to the treatment of war prisioners. Besides, according to my memory, no one ever gave any reports about prisoners of war matters at the cabinet council."

You may cross-examine.

MR. SUTTON: The prosecution does not desire to cross-examine this witness.

MR. PROOKS: May the witness be released on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused)

MR. BROOKS: We now offer in evidence defense document 2213. This is the affidavit of Major General F. S. G. Piggott, former military attache to the British Embassy in Japan and is offered as evidence that KOISO was opposed to wer with Great Britain and the United States and that he had a different opinion from that of the Army.

THE PRESIDENT: Hr. Sutton.

MR. SUTTON: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution objects to this affidavit as containing

2

4

)

7

16

a

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Same

....

primarily the opinion of the afficient. The prosecution particularly objects to the second sentence in the second paragraph of this affidavit which purports to give the belief of the witness as to why KOISO retired from the /rmy on the ground that it is opinion evidence. The prosecution also objects to the next to the last paragraph of the affidavit as purely character evidence.

MR. BROOKS: If your Honor please, I will agree to the deletion of the first objection. I think it is the third paragraph, last sentence in the third paragraph really. Is to the last one, part of that should be admitted. However, there is a statement there of fact which I will not quote but the Court can see it in the latter part of it that should be admissible and since this is a court and not a jury I think they can overlook them. The statement of the conclusion would have no affect upon it.

of the waste of time involved in having them repeated ad naseum. If we allowed those things to pass liberally we would be sitting here half our time listening to a lot of immaterial matter.

MR. BROOKS: I agree to the deletion, if your Honor please, in the last paragraph from the word "broadminded" in the first sentence of that paragraph

down to and including the word "and" in the third sentence 1 of that paragraph just before "a statesman not necessarily reflecting." So it would start with "General KOISO struck me as" and then would jump to "a statesman not necessarily," and so forth. THE PRISIDENT: The objection is sustained by a majority and the balance is admitted on the usual terms. 7 CLURK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2213 will 8 receive exhibit No. 3391. 9 (hereupon, the document above referred 10 to was marked defense exhibit No. 3391 and 11 received in evidence.) 12 13 MR. BROOKS: I now read defense document 2213, 14 exhibit 3391, omitting the excepted parts: 15 "I, F. S. G. Piggott, being first duly sworn, 16 derose and say. 17 "I was Military Attache to the British Embassy, 18 Tokyo, Japan, from 1921 to 1926 and from 1936 to 1939. "I met General KOISO, Kuniaki in Tokyo in 1939. "It was on account of a possiblity that he might be a 'dark horse' for the premiership that I

sought his acquaintance, with the concurrence of the

improving Anglo-Japanese relations, which, he stated,

"I found General KOISO receptive to the idea of

24 25

19

20

21

22

23

British Ambassador.

was important for his country. He stated that the idea of solving disagreements between Japan and Great Britain, and between Japan and the United States by wer was repugnant to him, and he said it was essential to probe to the bottom and find a perceful solution. He said he would continue to study the outstanding problems between our countries, and was certain the old friendship could be restored to our mutual advantage.

"Our conversations were carried on in the Japanese language."

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. McManus.

MR. McMANUS: If the Tribunal please, in view 1 9 of the Tribunal's most recent ruling, this last decision in admitting this document, may I request the Court to reconsider the defense document, the questionnaire, 573, submitted on behalf of the defendant AR KI? THE PRESILENT: Mr. Sutton.

MR. SUTTON: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution respectfully objects to the interruption of the proceedings at this point and strongly objects to the motion made on behalf of defense counsel.

THE PRESIDENT: I haven't the faintest idea what it is about. I do not recollect anything of ARAKI's questionnaire. Anyhow, the application should not be made now, at this stage. I should out of pure courtesy be told about it by counsel in chambers. should be explained to me.

I fail to see how the upholding of any objections by the prosecution can be held to admit anything already rejected in the case of ARAKI. Had the prosecution made further objections to this affidavit, they may well have been sustained.

MR. McMANUS: I shall make my application later, if the Tribunal please.

MR. BROOKS: We now offer in evidence defense

23

24

document No. 2491. We do not desire to read this document, but offer it for the Court's consideration of the conditions in Japan as a result of air raid damage to communications, transportation, etc., during the period from July 1944 following the time that KOISO was Premier, and we submit that a study of this document and the official reports contained therein will make clear to the Tribunal the conditions as to food and receipt and transmittal of information that were the problems with which KOISO had to deal at the time he was appointed Frime Minister in the effort to prevent the complete destruction of Japan.

may well understand the reason for shortages of food, clothing, etc., and understand also why the Premier was principally occupied with alleviating conditions in Japan where whole cities were being wiped off the map by bomb raids destroying lives and property and creating chaotic and emergency situations that called for the undivided attention of the government and destroyed contact with and interest in the affairs of military forces at the front. It also shows why KOISO's Cabinet has stated that its policy was one of fighting a defensive war to avoid total destruction while attempting to obtain a negotiated peace.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Sutton.

MR. SUTTON: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution first objects to the long and detailed statement made by counsel in presenting this document.

THE PRESIDENT: You could save reading it if it is admitted, of course.

MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, I submit there is nothing that I could not have said on an opening statement, and I did not intend to read it. That is why I made the statement.

this document on the ground that it is immaterial and irrelevant to any of the issues involved in this case. Had the document been pertinent, it should have been tendered in the general phase. The Tribunal has heretofore rejected evidence tending to prove the circumstances surrounding the use of the atomic bomb, record page 17,655 to 17,662.

MR. BROOKS: The Court will notice this is not confined to the atomic bomb alone, and it is specifically covering the period of KOISO's holding office as Premier from 1944. It does not cover the years from 1928 to 1945, the end of the war.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the objection is uphelo and the document rejected.

-7

MR. BROOKS: I request that a letter dated 1 14 July 1947 to the President of this Tribunal on the subject, Correction of Exhibit 158, record pages 1441 to 1443, be given exhibit No. 3384-A for identification only; and I request that the matters referred to therein 5 be referred to the Language Arbitration Board for corrections, if possible, in line with exhibit 3384. CLERK OF THE COURT: The letter dated July 14, 8 1947, to the International Tribunal, will receive 9 10

exhibit No. 3384-A for identification only. (Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked defense exhibit

No. 3384-A for identification.)

THE PRESIDENT: Any application for the correction or revision of any document by the Language Section will be granted.

MR. BROOKS: This concludes the presentation of evidence on behalf of the defendant KOISO for the time being, and counsel for the next accused in alphabetical order will now proceed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Chief of Counsel.

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, the prosecution inquires of the Court if a final decision has been reached as to granting the request of the prosecution that its witness, BUDARIN, be permitted to take the

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

stand and affirm his affidavit under oath, as have other witnesses. This request has been made and is emphasized because one of the Allied nations has caused this witness to traverse a continent at considerable expense and some effort in its attempt to comply with the orders of this court. Especially in view of the fact that 7 this nation, the Soviet Union, has always promptly and 8 earnestly cooperated in this prosecution, and further 9 in view of the fact that the President has stated that one or more Members of the Court has some inquiries o to make of this witness, the prosecution most earnestly represents its belief that it would be most unfortunate if this request could not be complied with. However, Mr. President, we seek direction from this Court, and 14 represent that a careful examination, a very careful 15 examination, of the record discloses that that matter 16 17 has not finally been disposed of. 18

On the second point, Mr. President, of the ultimate action of the Court on these affidavits where witnesses have not appeared for one reason or another, my colleague, Mr. Tavenner, is prepared to advert to the record and give opposition fully, if this be the appropriate time.

19

20

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: On the first point, Mr. Chief of Counsel, a Member of the Tribunal has submitted to

the other Members a list of the questions that he would like to ask of the Russian witness you named. He says they are necessary to enable him to be clear as to what the affidavit contains. I have not been notified of any opposition by any Member of the Tribunal, so the witness may be called.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, could we have time to get the affidavit? We did not bring it with us.

THE PRESIDENT: I think we should meet you there. If you haven't brought the affidavit, we will give you time to get it. In the meantime, we can be going on with Mr. Tavenner's matter.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please --

2

1

3

4 5

6

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: The C. ief of Counsel has requested that I present the prosecution's views

with regard to the affidavits of certain witnesses in the Russian phase whose presence for crossexamination has not been secured. At page 31,214 of the transcript the following announcement was made by the President of the Tribunal: "As to the other witnesses who are being detained in the Soviet Union the Court will consider the matter." It will be my purpose in this statement, prepared for simultaneous translation, to outline the situation that now exists with regard to these witnesses, state briefly how the situation is now changed from what it was when this matter was originally heard, call to the Tribunal's attention three points which were not considered at that time, and allude briefly to a connected matter, the recent request of defense counsel to reopen the general Soviet phase of the defense case.

During the case in chief for the prosecution the Russian prosecutor introduced in evidence thirteen affidavits of Japanese prisoners of war. At that time three of the affiants were brought to Japan

from Russia, two of whom were cross-examined, and the third, KUSABA, committed suicide. The affidavit of KUSABA was admitted. The affidavit of a fourth witness, the deceased MIYAKE, was admitted, and the affidavit of a fifth witness, NOHARA, shown to be in

Germany, was admitted.

On the 17th day of June last, page 24,517 of the transcript, the Tribunal ordered the prosecution to produce for cross-examination certain witnesses within a period of two months or within such longer period as may on cause shown be approved by the Tribunal or, alternatively, to give convincing reasons within the said period why they are not able to produce the said witnesses. It was then announced that the Tribunal will disregard the affidavits of any one or more of the deponents in respect of whom the prosecution fails to comply with the order. On the showing of good and sufficient cause the Tribunal extended the time for the production of the witnesses.

The Russian prosecutor endeavored to the best of his ability to meet the terms of the Tribunal and has produced three additional Japanese prisoners of war whose cross-examination has now been completed. There remain for consideration five of the original

thirteen affidavits. At this point, if the Tribunal please, I desire to offer in evidence prosecution document 3229. It is a certificate by S. P. Kislenko, acting Member of the USSR of the Allied Council for Japan, dated October 27, 1947, regarding one of the five deponents last mentioned. A copy of that has been served on the defense.

MR. BLAKENEY: Yes, I have seen the document, and I would like to make some objections to it on the grounds that, first, the document does not show the date of death of the deceased, but is merely dated on some day in October, certifying that he has died, time and place unspecified.

THE PRESIDENT: According to our copy,
Major Blakeney, he died on the 7th of August, 1947,
if I have been given the right copy. That has been
added in ink.

MR. BLAKENEY: I see that addition for the first time. It was not on the copy served on the defense.

I wish to make objection further on the grounds that the prosecution is not engaged at this time in presenting evidence, but ostensibly in making a motion, and I think it improper to present evidence in support of a motion based, as the prosecutor said,

-

on the record.

1

THE PRESIDENT: The technical procedure suggested by Major Blakeney, assuming it applies anywhere, has no merit except its technical merit.

Your objections are overruled and the docu-

ment admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3229 will receive exhibit No. 3392.

> (Thereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3392 and received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: It has just been pointed out to me that the Russian text, which is the original of this document, contains the date and the place of death. In the copy that was circulated apparently that date was left out.

It reads as follows:

"In accordance with the official data received from competent Soviet authorities, KITA, Seiichi, former General of the Japanese Army, Japanese POW in the USSR, born in 1886, died in Habarovsk of seleron's hypertony and heart-valve insufficiency in Rospital No. 08903 on August 7, 1947."

It is respectfully submitted that the decision of the Tribunal in admitting the affidavit

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

of the deceased HIYAKE is applicable in this instance, and based on that decision the affidavit of KITA should be accepted as part of the evidence in this case.

The remaining four affidavits are those made by: USHIROKU, Jun, exhibit 703, appearing at page 7,515 of the transcript; TOMINAGA, Keoji, exhibit 705, page 7,527; OTSUBA, Kajima, exhibit 837, page 8,162; and YANAGITA, Genzo, exhibit 723, page 7,581. All efforts of the prosecution to present these four

12 It has been previously explained that they are con-13 nected with other cases in the USSR and for security reasons they cannot be produced. The prosecution having done all within its power in this matter, the Tribunal is now asked to make a final disposition of

deponents for cross-examination have been exhausted.

the question. 18 Since the pronouncement of the Tribunal on 19

the 17th day of June last, the situation has materially changed:

On September 10, 1947, page 28,068 of (1) the transcript, precisely the same objection was 23 raised to the introduction in evidence by the defense of the affidavit of H. G. W. Woodhead without making him available for cross-examination. The Tribunal

20 21

14

15

16

admitted the affidavit. It is submitted no proper and material distinction in principle can be made with regard to the Woodhead affidavit and the affidavits in question. In other words, a contrary rule has now been established by the Tribunal. It is submitted that if the question with regard to the four Russian witnesses had arisen after the decision regarding the defense affidavit of Woodhead, the Tribunal would have applied the same rule and would have admitted the Russian affidavits in the same manner that they admitted the Woodhead affidavit.

(2) As previously stated, it has been demonstrated that the prosecution has done all it can to present these four deponents for cross-examination.

(3) One of the objections to the use of the affidavits in evidence was based on a vituperative attack by counsel against one of the participating nations, the substance of which was that duress had been used in obtaining the affidavits. The cross-examination of the witnesses just produced has demonstrated the emptiness of such a charge and the wholly unwarranted character of it.

(4) One of the chief items of evidence in the first three of the said affidavits concerns the

Kan-toku-en. This was the subject of long, extensive and exhaustive cross-examination of the three Japanese witnesses produced by the Russian prosecutor last week. By this cross-examination the defense has had a fair opportunity to develop its theories, and it is submitted further cross-examination on that subject would be needlessly repetitive. As to the other subjects dealt with in these affidavits, the evidence is to a great extent cumulative, as pointed out by my distinguished colleague, General Vasiliev, when this matter was first heard.

I now desire to call the Tribunal's attention to three points which hitherto have not been argued, and in connection with the first I will refer to the recent defense request to reopen the general Soviet phase of the defense case.

5

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

The first three of the four affidavits (1) with which we are now concerned were adopted by the defense. Substantial portions of them were offered and read in evidence by defense counsel. In fact, more than half of the affidavit of USHIROKU was read in evidence by the defense, if the curriculum vitae evidence not be considered. In offering this evidence, counsel endeavored to place the Tribunal on terms, that is, to present it subject to the decision of the Tribunal on the defense motion to exclude it. No such terms were granted by the Tribunal, and without such leave being first obtained the proffer of the testimony, it is submitted, is free from any selfimposed terms of the offerer. As the defense objection was directed at the admissibility of the entire document, it is submitted, the subsequent adoption of a part of it is a waiver and abandonment of the original objection. The defense should not be permitted to accept the sweet and reject the bitter.

In this connection, defense counsel, page 31,842 of the transcript, announced that he must ask leave to reopen the general Soviet phase of the defense case as he wished to adduce other evidence in substitution for the parts of the prosecution affidavits which the defense offered in evidence.

21 22

23

24

This indeed would be an innovation. If the defense be permitted to substitute new evidence, the prosecution under the circumstances should have the same privilege. This would result in a retrial of the Soviet phase of the case, for which, we submit, there is no justification. The defense chose to introduce in evidence testimony which it formerly sought to exclude. It is bound by that choice, and in making it the defense has abandoned or waived its original

In addition, it was stated by defense counsel that reopening of the general Soviet phase would be necessitated by the cross-examination of the witnesses then being produced. In answer, we submit that the defense had no right to assume that any affidavit would be excluded by reason of non-production of the deponent, and if the defense had testimony to meet these affidavits which had been admitted and failed to present it, it was of their own volition and choice. In fact, the decision of June 17 was long after the close of the Soviet phase. Nothing has occurred to my knowledge in the course of cross-examination which would make admissible now evidence which was not admissible during the Russian phase or relevant now that which was not relevant then.

objection.

(2) The prosecution contends that the language of the Charter contemplates the admission of these affidavits.

Article 13, Section A, provides that the

Tribunal shall admit any evidence which it deems to

have probative value. It would seem unnecessary to

argue that the sworn statements of these witnesses

have probative value, especially in light of the

showing made by the deponents as to whom the prose
cution was successful in producing for cross
examination.

Article 13-C, without limiting in any way the scope of Subsection A, enumerates specific types of evidence which may be admitted and under Subsection 3 thereof includes affidavits.

It is respectfully submitted that the affidavits in question should be admitted under either or both of these Charter provisions.

(3) The Nuernberg Tribunal construed a similar Charter provision. While it is conceded that this Tribunal is in no way bound by the ruling of that body, it is respectfully suggested that its rulings are entitled to great weight. In passing on the affidavit of a witness who was not in Nuernberg at the time of presentation, that Tribunal stated:

"I said that you are at liberty to put in the document now if you wish to do so. That is one

-

10

15

18

the attendance of the witness and should you fail to do so, the Tribunal will attempt to secure the attendance of the witness. But the document will still be in evidence and will not be struck out, although of course it will be open to the criticism that it is only a deposition or an affidavit and that the witness has not been produced for crossexamination, and therefore the weight that attaches to the testimony will not be so great as it would be if the witness had been produced for cross-examination."

We respectfully submit that for all the reasons assigned, the affidavit of KITA and the other four deponents should be admitted and considered in evidence. If that be the decision of the Tribunal, there is no basis for the granting of defense request to reopen the general Russian phase and such request, it is respectfully submitted, should be denied.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

MR. BLAKENEY: This request of the

prosecution to reopen the decision of the Court,

arrived at after full and solemn consideration, is

somewhat astonishing to the defense, and, of course,

we wish the opportunity to reply fully to it. Equally,

of course, I am not prepared to do so now, not having

known what matter was coming up, and therefore I should

like to request that I be allowed some reasonable

time to prepare an answer to this motion, if the

Tribunal intends to entertain the motion at all

in spite of the repeated rulings of the past. In

that event, I should like to ask that I be permitted

to be heard, say, Monday morning, this being Thursday,

or at such other time as may be convenient to the

Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: What about tomorrow morning, Major Blakeney?

MR. BLAKENEY: That, of course, means that I and the translators will have to stay up all night preparing the argument and having it ready for simultaneous delivery.

THE PRESIDENT: It depends on the length of the argument, of course.

MR. BLAKENEY: It will be at least as long

a

17

19

21

22

23

24

as the motion and perhaps longer, because of what I consider to be the numerous misstatements of fact which must be corrected by references to the record.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you make any exception of KITA's case?

MR. BLAKENEY: Exception?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes. Are you objecting to the production of his affidavit or to its being used, seeing that he is dead according to the evidence before us?

MR. BLAKENEY: Yes, of course, we do object to the reception of that affidavit as well as others in similar case, some of which the Tribunal ruled would be accepted <u>de bene esse</u>.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I think we are quite prepared to give you to Monday morning to reply.

MR. BLAKENEY: Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal would like to know why it is not possible to have any witness, any affiant, who is still alive produced here in Tokyo.

I know security reasons have been stated, but we need some amplification of that.

I know how absolute in our own courts such a pronouncement would be by, say, a foreign secretary or a person of that standing, but here in this Court

3

4 5

6

7

8

9.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

perhaps we may be given a little more information, if the philosophy underlying a foreign secretary's pronouncement in relation to the tribunals of his own country has no application here. The courts of a nation are not allowed to get out of line with its foreign policy; that is the philosophy. But here we are not bound by the policy of any particular nation, but we must respect its real security measures.

We will hear you after the recess, General. We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1105, after which the proceed-ings were resumed as follows:)

14

9

11

12

13

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Kapleau & Kn

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: With the Tribunal's permission, the accused MUTO will be absent from the courtroom for the balance of the morning session, conferring with his counsel.

General Vasiliev.

GENERAL VARILIEV: The impossibility to produce other witnesses, Japanese prisoners of war who are at present in the USSR, is explained by the fact that they are connected with other war crimes trials committed against the USSR.

THE PRESIDENT: Which is the more important, or the most important trial of all?

GENERAL VASILIEV: Your question is not clear to me, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: This, I should say, is the greatest war crimes trial now in existence.

GENERAL VASILIEV: I fully agree with that statement, sir, but the participation of these people is quite different in this trial and in the trials that are going on in the USSR. In the trials that are going on in the USSR we cannot do without these people at all. These cases are being investigated at present, or haven't yet been investigated by the courts. The

efficiency in the investigation and examination of these cases is a matter of state security.

The proper document from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the USSR I will be able to produce in a few days. I believe it will be a telegram certified by the Member from the USSR of the Allied Council for Japan. I don't think I will be able to produce any other document in so short a time.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes. Have you said all you propose to say, General?

GENERAL VASILIEV: Yes, sir.

May I call the witness Budarin?

THE PRESIDENT: Call him.

GENERAL VASILIEV: May the witness Budarin be called?

BORIS ALEXANDROVICH BUDARIN,
called as a witness on behalf of the prosecution,
being first duly sworn, testified through Soviet
interpreters as follows:
THE PRESIDENT: I have a number of questions.
Produce his affidavit first.
GENERAL VARILIEV: May the witness take a look
at his affidavit, according to the adopted procedure,
and confirm it?
(Whereupon, a document was handed
to the witness.)
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY GENERAL VASILIEV:
Q State your surname, first name, and father's
name.
A Budarin, Boris Alexandrovich.
Q What post did you hold when the vessel Perekop
perished?
GENERAL VASILIMY: May the witness be shown
document No. 822?
(Whereupon, a document was handed
to the witness.)
Q Is this your affidavit?
A I answer your first question: I was first

mate of the ship Perekop.

25

mate of the ship Perekop.

BORIS ALEXANDROVICH BUDARIN, 1 called as a witness on behalf of the prosecution, being first duly sworn, testified through Soviet interpreters as follows: 4 THE PRESIDENT: I have a number of questions. Produce his affidavit first. GENERAL VASILIEV: May the witness take a look 7 at his affidavit, according to the adopted procedure, 8 and confirm it? (Whereupon, a document was handed 11 to the witness.) 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY GENERAL VASILIEV: 14 Q State your surname, first name, and father's 15 name. 16 Budarin, Boris Alexandrovich. A 17 What post did you hold when the vessel Perekop Q 18 perished? 19 GENERAL VASILIMY: May the witness be shown 20 document No. 822? (Whereupon, a document was handed 22 to the witness.) 23 Q Is this your affidavit? 24

I answer your first question: I was first

The second question was to look through my affidavit, wasn't it? Yes, this is my affidavit, written by me.

Are the contents thereof true and correct?

A Yes, true and correct.

GENERAL VARILIEV: I ask the permission of the Court to read the first four and one-half pages of the affidavit, as only a few sentences from that affidavit were read previously, pages 8049 and 8050 of the transcript.

THE PRESIDENT: A majority of the Court will not permit that, General. They have agreed merely to certain questions being put, through me, by a Member of the Tribunal. I am about to put them.

BY THE PRESIDENT:

Q The first question is, where were the identification signs located on the vessel <u>Perekop</u>?

A May I answer?

The identification signs were in the following places: First of all, on both boards of the ship.

Q What did those signs signify?

A These flags had the state flag of the USFR with hammer and sickle in the left-hand upper corner.

O What was their size?

A About the size of the flags, I can say as

2

1

4 5

6

8

9

11

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

22

23

24

follows: First of all I would like to say that the flags were on the holds and on the boards of the ship, and their size was as follows: two meters twenty centi-meters long and one meter twenty-five centimeters wide.

- 30-

O "hen were they made?

A All the identification signs on the ship were made at the time of the outbreak of the war between Germany and the USSR.

At what distance could those signs be distinguished in conditions of normal visibility, with or without instruments?

at which those identification signs could be distinguished depended on the quality of the instruments; but if no instruments were used they could be distinguished with the naked eye at the distance of 200, 250 meters in conditions of good visibility.

"That do you mean when you say that you had hung out identification flags?

A "hen a ship wants to show its nationality, in addition to those identification signs which it has on boards and on holds of the ship, we hung out nationality colors consisting of four flags with the letters "USSR" on them.

Did you see any other vessels on the day of the alleged attack?

A No, I saw no other vessels on the day of the attack, but I saw some vessels prior to that day.

Are you familiar with the systems of air-

3

6

0

,

11

--

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

24

. 25

planes?

A Yes, I know the system of planes so far that I could distinguish bomber from destroyer -- from a fighter, and I know that bombers drop bombs.

O Were the attacking planes biplanes or monoplanes, seaplanes or land planes?

A The first plane which flew over us was a biplane. All other planes which bombed the vessel -all of them were monoplanes.

O Were there any seaplanes?

A I can't tell exactly whether those planes were land planes or seaplanes, because at the time of bombing I had no possibility to observe them carefully.

'Q What were the identification signs on the attaching planes, that is to say, their form, color, and size?

A The identification signs that were on the planes that bombed the vessel were red circles on the planes and fuselage of the aircraft, and their size was about three-quarters of a meter.

Approximately at what distance from your ship did the enemy aircraft fly?

A The flight at which the planes flew was different: sometimes 100 meters, sometimes 200 meters,

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

sometimes 100 meters, sometimes 50 meters, and sometimes even less.

How did you know at the moment of the attack on December 17 there were no hostilities between the USSR and Japan?

A I remember that on that day we received a radio broadcast called the "Pacific Ocean Seamen," which is broadcast specially for the seamen, and from that broadcast we learned that there was no war between the USSR and Japan.

of planes to sink the unarmed ship?

A "ill you kindly repeat the question, please?

O Why were two hours needed for the big group of planes to sink the unarmed ship?

A When the bombing planes were bombing the vessel, I had no opportunity of observing time. I believe it was two o'clock -- I believe it was two hours or probably less than that that the planes came and went and dropped bombs on the vessel.

On what do you base your statement that one of the planes photographed the ship while it was sinking?

A It is my personal opinion. I observed the plane did not bomb the vessel. Neither did it machin.

4 5

Greenberg & Yelden

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gun it. It was flying at a very low height, and so I supposed that the plane was -- that they were photographing the vessel at the moment when it was sinking and to see how the sailors were being drowned.

Q What was the weather at the moment of the attack?

A There were no clouds; the visibility was very good.

Q What was the ship's cargo?

A We had no cargo.

Q What was the ship's tonnage?

A About 5,000 tons.

Q How did you make yourself understood by the Japanese when you were wandering?

A We used the English language to make ourselves understood to the Japanese.

Q On what do you base your statement at the end of your affidavit that the Japanese were acting according to the instructions of the Japanese Government?

A That is my personal opinion. If there was one plane bombing our vessel I don't think I would have thought that but there was a big group of planes -- but when I saw that there was a big group of planes, then the possibility of making a mistake was excluded and

that is why I came to the conclusion which I gave in my affidavit. RUSSIAN MONITOR: The possibility that they made a mistake was excluded. Q How many members of the crew perished? A Eight men. THE PRESIDENT: I have no further questions on behalf of the Tribunal or any Member of it. GENERAL VASILIEV: May the witness be released on the usual terms? THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: May we proceed now with the next case?

On behalf of the accused MATSUI, I desire to read now a statement of what we expect to prove.

When the defendant MATSUI was a student of the Army Preparatory School, he was deeply impressed with the idea advocated by KAWAKALI, Soroku, the great senior of the Japanese Army, that the "raison d'etre" of the --

THE PRESIDENT: .r. Mattice, the copies have not yet been handed to the Judges.

AR. MATTICE: Very well.

THE PRESIDENT: You may proceed now.

a student of the army Preparatory School, he was deeply impressed with the idea advocated by MAWAMAMI, Soroku, the great senior of the Japanese Army, that the "raison d'etre" of the Japanese Army is to secure the peace of the Orient. Later on, he came to sympathize with the idea of the "principle of Greater Asia" propounded by Sun Wen, "Father of the State of China," that Asiatic peoples must not submit themselves to the "rule of might," (Hado), and strive against each other but go hand in hand with friendly

4 5

,

understanding, according to the "rule of justice," (odo), which is the time honored moral sense of the Orient. Thus he was putting his heart and soul into the establishment of cordial relations between Japan and China, and the resuscitation and prosperity of Asia. Thus he has been endeavoring to this day for the realization of that idea. He has never been a cabinet member, nor has he ever taken any important post in general politics and military politics.

Accordingly, he has, of course, never planned or prepared any aggressive war or carried it into practice as the prosecution alleges. He has never taken part in any plan to commit nor committed any action contrary to international law, treaties, agreements or guarantees. He will testify, for convenience sake, according to the groups mentioned in the Indictment.

The defendant MATSUI is charged with offenses in 20 Counts. In Crimes Against Peace under Group One of the Indictment he will deal with in three parts.

I. With regard to Counts 1 to 17, inclusive, there is absolutely no fact at all of his having planned and prepared a plan for an aggressive war because the post the defendant occupied did not

.

-

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

24

-

24

25

enable him to decide or participate in a decision of such an act nor had he any authority to commit such an act.

The prosecution has tried to make the witness Chin Te-chung testify that the movement of the Greater Asia doctrine advocated by MATSUI is the same in its principle with the Greater Asia doctrine advocated by Sun Wen.

This is the principle of brotherhood of the Last and the West; the principle of the co-existence in the world. It never meant an Oriental Monroe Doctrine or a "bloc principle." The idea of this doctrine does not necessarily intend to exclude any European or American people from various areas in Asia. On the contrary, it recognizes with respect the painstaking work of civilization achieved by the Luropean and American peoples in Asia during the past century. Therefore, Europeans or Americans, who understand the civilization peculiar to Asia and desire to cooperate with us for the sake of the Asiatic races and their welfare, are our friends and colleagues. He will testify hereby that the movement conducted by him was but to elaborate on the views manifested in this doctrine of Greater Asia. Accordingly, he will make this clear beyond doubt by witnesses and exhibits that this doctrine was not advocated in order to plan and prepare for an aggressive war.

Furthermore, it will be shown that the Chinese Greater Asiatic Association which was created in China was not organized by such a process as wentioned in the testimony given by the witness Chin. Again, the fact that the positions the defendant occupied were such that they did not allow him to participate in planning or preparing such a conspiracy as mentioned in the Counts will be proven by witnesses. The prosecution tried to prove that the defendant had deliberated at Berlin concerning the affairs of the Soviet Union.

On this point, although contrary evidence has already been produced by the witness HASHIMOTO, Kingoro, it is also intended that the substance of that meeting be proven clearly by testimony to be produced by the defendant himself. Moreover, the fact will be made clear by witness that at that time he was relieved of the post of the Chief of the Second Section of the General Staff.

II. Concerning the matter of initiating aggressive war charged in Counts 19, 25, and 26, the defendant MATSUI was not in a position or authorized

1 2

4 5

.10

to make any decision, nor to be consulted with, as a reserve officer or as a Cabinet Councillor, during the period of time mentioned in the Counts.

III. He is charged with the offense of waging war of aggression according to Counts 27-32 and No. 34-36.

on August 14, 1937 and held the post of commander of either the Shanghai Expeditionary Force or the Central China Area Army until February of the following year, 1938. It was only a sequence of the Japanese system that he was called out by order from the reserve and took the post of a commander. Besides, he was still ever desirous of a speedy termination of hostilities and endeavoured to seize every opportunity for peace between Japan and China. This fact will be testified to by witnesses.

Apart from the period aforementioned, the defendant was simply an officer in the reserve list enjoying civilian life. He had nothing to do with the matters mentioned in the Counts.

In the second group of the Indictment -Murder -- the defendant ATSUI is accused on the bases
of the facts set forth in Counts No. 44, 45, 46, 47,
51 and 52.

2 A 3 t 4 p 5 p 7 8 t 9 t 10 H

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LATSUI was the Commande ... in-Chief of the Shanghai Expeditionary Army or the Central China Area Army from August, 1937 to February, 1938. During that period MATSUI had never taken part in any common plan to murder POW's, energy nationals and their people, nor did he give order or permission to the Japanese Army, as described in the Indictment. On the contrary, as the commander, laTSUI did his best to maintain and enforce military and moral discipline. He took every precaution to prevent violations and punished severely anybody who acted without regard to discipline. Furthermors, he stressed harmony and friendship between Japan and China which was his long-cherished idea; trued to minimize as much as possible damages caused by the ho: tilities, and gave orders to be decent to and protect: the good people. The witnesses and evidences will verify his action. At the same time, that he also mads every effort to protect the foreign interests and cultural establishments will also be proved. As for the protection of the so-called refugee district, any attack on this district was prohibited even before the capture of Nanking, not to mention the fact that after the capture the district was guarded by the Kempei unit and the Japanese officers and men were strictly prohibited

(A)

from entering the area. These facts will be clearly established. All our evidence will prove that no atrocities were carried out with the understanding and consent of MATSUI, as referred in the statement of Prosecutor Hsiang. Our witnesses, who were actually on the job of guarding Nanking, will clarify the condition of guarding and the activities of the Japanese Army and the fact that there were, besides the casualties caused by fighting, no acts of atrocity as claimed by the prosecution.

MATSUI was ill in bed at Soochow when Nanking fell on 13 December, 1937. He entered Nanking on 17 of the same month, withdrawing from there on 21 of the same month, and returning to the headquarters in Shanghai. Thus, he stayed in Nanking only for five days. Furthermore, the authority of the Commander-in-Chief of the Central China Area Army was to make plans of unified command regarding the operation, having actually no units under his direct command. The actual movements of the soldiers were controlled by the low-ranking commanders. All these facts were already testified by witness NAKAYAMA in the general phase.

That at the time of attacks on the cities of Kwantung and Hankow and in the regions of Khalkin-Gol

and Lake Khassan, MATSUI was already retired, was a civilian and was not in a position to carry out these attacks.

In the third group -- Convention War Crimes and Crimes Against Humanity -- the defendant mATSUI 6 is accused in every Count. But, we maintain that in regard to the treatment of POW's and civilians, the 8 defendant LATSUI was never in a position with authority to handle POW's. He never authorized, permitted or gave order to violate the laws and customs of war, much less proposed to the Japanese Government the suspension of preventing such violations.

Our witnesses will testify that, without authority to do anything with the treatment of POW's, as mentioned above, MATSUI asked the authorized units under his command to care for and protect POW's and civilians during the period from August, 1937 to February, 1938, that is, when he was the Commanderin-Chief of the Shanghai Expeditionary Army and the Central China Area Army, and that this request of his was carried out.

In other periods, he was neither in a position able to make such request nor was he ever consulted or informed of their treatment.

In short, we will show that the defendant was

13

14

17 18

19

20

21

23

```
never in such a position as to be able to plan, pre-
pare and carry out an aggressive war throughout the
whole period covered in the Indictment; that he
never acted for such purposes; that there exists no
fact that he committed crimes of opening and carrying
out an aggressive war; and that he was not involved
in any nurders, war crimes, or crimes against human-
ity
```

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

4

6

Q

9

11

12 13

14 15

17

18

21

20

22

23

24

25

Q Are the mat

Now, if the Tribunal please, due to the indisposition of the accused MATSUI, we desire to alter our order of proof somewhat and therefore will pass the first three documents listed, numbers 2, 3 and 4; and at this point we will call the witness OSUGI.

H I R O S H I O S U G I, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MATTICE:

Q Will you state your name and address to the Tribunal, please?

A My name is OSUGI, Hiroshi; my address, No. 5 2-chome, Funatsuki-machi, Kita-ku, Nagoya-shi.

MR. MATTICE: May the witness be shown defense document 2238, please?

Q Will you look at the document which has been handed you and tell this Tribunal whether that is your affidavit?

- A Yes, this is my affidavit.
- Q Are the matters and things stated therein true?
- A They are all true.

MR. MATTICE: I offer this document in evidence,

if the Tribunal please.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2238

will receive exhibit No. 3393.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3393 and received in evidence.)

MR. MATTICE: I will now read exhibit 3393 omitting the caption.

THE PRESIDENT: You can start at paragraph 2 of the affidavit.

MR. MATTICE: Yes, I intended to start at that point. (Reading):

"2. From August 1937 throughout the year 1938, as leader of an observation section of the first battalion, the third artillery regiment, the third division, I took part in the campaigns in Shanghai and Nanking areas. I was then artillery 2nd lieutenant.

"3. On the 9th of November 1937, when we were attacking Nanshi, Shanghai, I was specially ordered by the battalion commander to scout the boundaries of the Settlement area so that these zones might be absolutely safe from the range of our fire.

I had the results of our scouting sent to every company

on the spot. As far as I know, we caused no damage to

3

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

the settlement area during our attack on Nanshi. "4. After the Nanshi campaign, my unit

assembled for readjustment at Taitsang and started for Nanking on about the 2d of December. There were hardly any fighting on the way to Nanking and never saw a totally destroyed village between Taitsang and Nanking. We did see a few houses partially destroyed or burnt but I never saw nor heard of any houses that were destroyed by the Japanese soldiers. During our march, there were enough houses for us to quarter at, so we did not have to camp out.

"5. I have forgotten the name but at a village on our way to Nanking I saw a pile of rice burnt and abandoned. Upon inquiry I was explained by the villagers that it had been burnt by some retreating Chinese soldiers who did not want it to be taken away by the Japanese army. After this, I observed the destroyed houses on the way more carefully and found that most of them were storehouses and other provision depots.

"6. On about the 11th of December 1937, I was ordered to scout for the line of advance and suitable places for position for our army. I left my unit at Chiyun and went alone to the south side of Nanking.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think it was on the evening of the 13th that I entered within the walls of Nanking through the south gate of the town. There were many seattered dead bodies of both Japanese and Chinese. Among them I saw the body of a Japanese soldier, tied to a tree with several bullets in his body. I knew at a glance that he had been captured and slaughtered by the Chirase soldiers. I cut the rope and let the body down on the ground. Around the city walls there were many dead bodies of Chinese soldiers but not those of civilians. I went within the walls only about a kilometre from the city gate but I saw Kempei guards already posted at the banks and government offices with signs of off limits to Japanese soldiers. At the same time I noticed a very few residences damaged in the town. It was the first time for me to see the city of Nanking, but I could see that as a whole it preserved its old condition.

I saw no traces of fire there.

"7. Toward the end of the battle of Shanghai, we repeatedly received instructions from the higher command regarding the following matters which I enforced among my men:

"Observe strictly military and moral discipline. "Treat the Chinese people kindly.

"Observe International Laws.

"Do not cause international complications.

"Be prudent in behavior keeping in mind that we are under observation by the powers.

"8. On the 13th of November, I joined my unit at Tangshuichen and led them to Tushanchen to the south of Nanking. At this time, at the instructions of the higher command, I strictly forbade my soldiers to go out on passes. Even going out on official business, the soldiers were ordered not to enter the southwest quarters of the city of Nanking as they were set aside as refugee's quarters. At that time, I heard from my colleagues that this prohibition was due to the danger of the armed defeated enemy soldiers in civilian clothes who had sought refuge in these quarters. As I had had some dangerous experiences from the Chinese plain-clothes soldiers in the battle of Shanghai, I had my men take special caution never to approach any place where defeated Chinese soldiers were likely to be in hiding. My unit eventually marched westward without entering the city walls. While I was in the vicinity of Nanking, I had never heard of such stories as unlawful act and massacres of Chinese people frequently said to be committed by

7

8

10

11

13

15

...

18

19

21

22

24

OSUGI DIRECT 32,592

the Japanese soldiers.

"9. At the beginning of 1938, my unit was stationed near Chinking. One day I visited a famous temple near by with my battalion commander. In a hall on the second floor of the temple building there was a great collection of books, all of which had been sealed by the Kempei with notes to the effect that taking out any of these books was strictly forbidden. Offenders, including the Japanese soldiers, will be duly punished.

"10. About that time, when a soldier of an adjacent company was arrested by the Kempei, I accompanied the commander of the company to take over the arrested soldier. The detachment commander of the Kempei, however, told us that the soldier had committed rape and refused to hand him over because of the order of Commander in Chief MATSUI to maintain strict military discipline and to severely punish any offenders.

"11. Our greatest trouble throughout our operations was the Chinese plain-clothes soldiers, who, when pressed hard, hid their weapons and pretended to be civilians but the moment our eyes were off, they came challenging us. As it was utterly impossible to tell them from real civilians, when they were without arms, we, at last, resorted occasionally to such a

Signed and sworn to.

2 3

4 5

6

8

10

9

11 12

14

15

past one.

13

16

18 19

21

22

20

23

24

25

method as gathering all the village people in one place and keeping surveillance over them, as occasion demanded. And as soon as the plain-clothes soldiers surrendered themselves or to our authority, public order was regained. We set the common people free and turned the surrendered soldiers over to our Kempei."

BRIGADIER NOLAN: There will be no cross-examination.

MR. MATTICE: May the witness be excused on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half-

(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

or se & h

1

2

3

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

AFTERNOON SISSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

MR. MATTICE: We next call the witness OUCHI.

THE PRESIDENT: Yesterday some witnesses were
called and were not cross-examined. In such cases it
would save time to rely on the affidavit unless there

is some good recson.

MR. MATTICE: This witness is of that character as I understand.

THE PRESIDENT: We want to avoid swearing any witnesses who are not going to be cross-examined.

BRIGADIFR NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, I have informed my learned friend of the names of the witnesses that I don't intend to cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Is this witness one?
MR. MATTICE: This is one.

THE PRESIDENT: No need to swear him.

MR. MATTICE: We offer in evidence then, if the Tribunal please, defense document 2668 which is the affidevit of OUCHI, Yoshihide.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2668 will

18

20

19

21

23

24

receive exhibit No. 3394.

4 5

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3394 and received in evidence.)

MR. MATTICE: I will now read exhibit 3394, omitting the caption and starting with the paragraph numbered 2:

"Since our landing at voosung at the end of September, 1937, I had taken part in the battles between Shanghai and Nanking Areas as the Acting Commander of the 7th Battery of the 9th Mountain Artillery Regiment of the 9th Division in the Expeditionary Force to Shanghai. At that time, my rank was 2nd Lieutenant of Artillery.

"Our regiment assembled in the vicinity of an air-field west of Shanghai after crossing over the Soochow River about the 3rd November of the same year.

"That area was rather devastated owing to cannonade and bombing. Our regiment was then still in battle position and the military discipline was maintained with great strictness and severity.

"At that rendezvous, we were given the following instruction by the Chief of Steff: 'As your regiment will be sent presently to the zone where various
foreign rights and interests exist, each commander ought

 to have his men strictly at his command and to endeavour for the maintanance of the military discipline.

"I then gave my men a caution is order that the above instruction might be thoroughly understood by them and there was no one under my command who violated the discipline at the rendezvous and its vicinity.

"There were several women remaining in a village (the name of it was forgotten) over against the rendezvous, so the stepping into the area was strictly forbidden.

"Thereupon, one night, red and green signal shalls were shot up from within the village and then the shower of enemy's shalls were poured down on the quarters of our unit.

"So we searched all through the village on the following morning, but we could not find even a single man. Accordingly, we thought it necessary henceforth to take strict preceutions even against a woman.

"About 14th November in the same year, our unit advanced as a first-line troop by the order to advance to Soochow and we scarcely met enemy resistance till we got there. Some villages along the road to Soochow were for the most part reduced to ashes, few houses had the roof left and there were no inhabitants to be seen in these villages.

4

5

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

"As our unit advanced at the head, we never could have done such violence and I know such destruction had been done by the Chinese troops as they began to retreat.

"I strictly prohibited incineration of houses and looting both for the Chinese people and for the Japanese troops coming after and perhaps owing to that order, there were much satisfactory results without any accident brought about.

"We entered Soochow with no resistance of the enemy, so the streets were not destructed at all except a slight damage by air-bombing.

. "In this town also the removal of goods by individual soldiers was prohibited and the preparation for requisition was being conducted by intendence officers but as our unit was ordered to advance westwards about 17th of November, the said requisition was not executed, and all the soldiers were marching even with their rationed food cooked in their messtins.

"We encountered a fierce resistance of the enemy in the mountaineous zone around 30 kilometres east of Nanking and the said zone was so well prepared and defended by the Chinese troop who had been specially trained against the Japanese army as the hypothetical enemy, that their resistance to us was never so fiercely

mrde.

2

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

"Our unit was advancing again at the head and all the Japanese troops were obliged to bivouac, as the houses to be used for their quarters in this vicinity had been all burnt down by Chinese troops.

"Then we received an oral order as follows:

"The aim and object for advance of our
troops is the well of Nanking and as regards the entry
into the city, you shall have another order."

"We marched into the air defence school outside of the Kwanghuamen at down on the 9th of December
after successive desperate fighting and we found that
lots of houses had been destructed and devestated to
obstruct our advance. Especially those houses in the
vicinity of the sir field were burnt down by incendiarism.

"Above stated destruction was surely made by the Chinese troops, as there was no Japanese troops that marched sheed of us.

"On the night of 9th of December there was transmitted to us the order stating that if the enemy would not surrender at noon the following day (10 Lecember), we should attack on the City of Nanking and at the same time we were given the following restriction.

"1. .s the City of Nanking is the capital of

3

4

5

7

9,

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19 20

21

23

24

the Republic of China you should take care not to send your shells so far as to fall within the city though it is allowed to bombard the enemy on the wall.

"2. Take particular care not to drop your shells upon the foreign rights and interests and the refugee quarters in the city. (I had the map of the City of Nanking then but I have not it now with me and there are none remaining in the First Demobilization Bureau as they were destroyed by fire.)

"At the above mentioned order I racked my brain from the technical point of view of firing but fortunately I could attain the purpose as it was mentioned in the order.

"Our attack on Nanking was launched at about 2 o'clock on 10 December and we captured the wall of the Kwanghuamen but it was not permitted to enter the city and only the military police as well as some small units entered there.

"On that day, near the well was a burnt ady of a men of unknown nationality; it was still breathing faintly.

"On seeing this, Major HAGA, our Battalion Commander was enraged and gave us a strict order to find out the offender, so I also stopped the preparation for fighting, assembled my men, gave a warning and investigated the criminal, but there was no offender among the men under my command.

"The surgeon who inspected the corpse decided that it seemed to have been committed at least ten hours before -- prior to our entry into the city, and that a Japanese soldier must have been captured by the Chinese troops, who had burnt him to death.

"Our unit turned back to Tangsuichen during that night.

"We entered into the City of Nanking on 15th

of December and lodged several days at the Chinese

Barracks where each unit mounted guard and all the

soldiers were prohibited to go out; so none of them

went out of the barracks except officers on official

duty. And it was so with all the units in our division.

Consequently, there was not a single criminal, who was

charged of an illegal action.

"An officer, a friend of mine, told me that there seemed to have been many refugees in the refugee quarters, but it was guarded by the military police; so even an officer, not to speak of a private, was not permitted to enter there.

"I saw a great deal of Chinese army equipments were thrown away in disorder on the streets when I went there on official duty, but I did not find out any fire

)

4 5

disaster except some ruins of small fires and the street were almost safe from destruction.

"I saw a few corpses of Chinese soldiers at the area along the Yangtze River, but never found a corpse which was massacred.

"We turned back eastward on around 20th of December."

Signed on the 29th day of September by the deponent, OUCHI, Yoshihide.

The efficient of WAKIZAKA, Jiro, with this deletion: that the sentence beginning with the words "A friend of mine told me" at the bottom of page 1 and to the end of that paragraph, and the paragraph at the bottom of page 4. So that the document with the exception of those two paragraphs is offered in evidence. There will be no cross-examinatio on this as I understand it.

THE PRISIDENT: The second part of paragraph 6, is that what you mean? "The number of the buried" down to "preceding battles".

MR. MATTICE: Second part of paregraph 6.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2627

will receive exhibit No. 3395.

(Thereupon, the document above

```
referred to was marked defense exhibit
           No. 3395 and received in swidence.)
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
21
22
23
24
25
```

MR. MATTICE: I will now read exhibit 3395, omitting the caption and beginning with paragraph No. 2.

"In September 1937 I was serving as commander of the 36th Regiment, 9th Division. At that
time I was colonel. Orders for the mobilization of
my regiment was issued on around September 20, 1937,
and I took part in the engagements in Shanghai and
Nanking areas under command of the Expeditionary
Firce to Shanghai.

"The commander of the Expeditionary Force to Shanghai was General MATSUI.

"3. Upon my arriving at Shanghai, instructions of the general were often passed to me through my senior. The general on every possible occasion delivered instructions saying that military discipline should be strictly maintained, innocent people be pacified and protected, and foreign interests be safeguarded. Then I made efforts to make his instructions thoroughly understood by my officers and men, and gave them warning so that such misdeeds as incendiary, murder, looting and rape may not occur among them.

While the Japanese force was advancing toward Nanking from Shanghai, my units always took the lead, and noticed that not a few houses of villages along

our route had been burnt down, destroyed, or looted. The Chinese natives told us that this was due to the incendiary and destruction caused by the so-called Field-Clearing-Tactics customarily taken by the Chinese forces when they were about to retreat, in order to check the advancement of the Japanese forces. They also told us that, on the other hand, both Chinese soldiers and people looted these houses, as was usually the case with them in a war time. We endeavored to preserve houses and other facilities for the purpose of pacifying and protecting the Chinese populace, and also for the convenience of our following units, far from undertaking to burn up and destroy them. This was a commonsense of the Japanese force though higher authorities also instructed so.

"As soon as my units captured Shunwachen, some 40 kilometers southeast of Wanking at about 4 p.m. on December 8th, my units immediately began to press hard on the enemy, hurriedly marched all night, and at dawn on the 9th reached Shanctfanctchen, south of the Kwancthuamen. It was pitch dark that night. Before we could ascertain in which direction Nanking was located, suddenly two pillars of big flames rose 25 high up in the north, as if they were to scorch the sky. And I guessed they rose up in the direction of

4

11

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

Nanking and made them a target of our advancement. My guessing turned out right. Later, for a few days before we captured Nanking we could see, day and night, flames rise up within the walls. At that time the bombing of the Japanese planes were not so intense and, on the other hand, there was no bombardment of our artillery corps. Therefore, we concluded that either the Chinese Field-Clearing-Tactics or accidental fires, as occurred in a state of confusion, were presumably responsible for these flames. Hitherto the Japanese forces were strictly warned against accidental fires. Scon after the capture of Nanking my units were engaged in extinguishing the first of the burning houses. There happened no cases of incendiary on the part of the Japanese Army, and my units never caused an accidental fire.

"My units captured Kwancthuamen in the early morning of December 13th. A furious fighting went on at this gate and, consequently, a large number of the killed and the wounded were reported on both sides. Immediately after the capture my units took care of the killed and the wounded. Gathering up the corpses of both Japanese and Chinese forces to the same place, located half way between the Kwancthuamen and the Tuncttsimen, my units erected a grave-post

24

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

25

and enshrined these sacrifices there. A sutra chanting was served for them by our military monk, and heavenly bliss was prayed by them over a day and night during which these remains were being buried.

"When I made a tour of inspection within the walls of Nanking on Dccember 15th, I wanted to see the real state of things in the refugees' section. The gendarmes, by whom the section was strictly guarded, however, refused my request, saying that without a special permission, even a commander was prohibited from entering there. Then I was unable to inspect the inside of that section. On that occasion, and also later, I never heard of any illegal behaviors caused by the Japanese force inside the section.

"A certain house was examined with a view to accommodating the headquarters of our regiment. When a certain lieutenant, bearer of the regimental colors, entered that house in order to examine an air raid shelter attached to it, he was fired on by revolvers from inside. He reported to me that he then immediately accepted the challenge with his pistol, with a result of shooting two Chinese soldiers to death. I at once instructed officers and men under my command to take precaution against the remnants of

. .

the Chinese forces, and not to drop in any Chinese private houses.

"Immediately after my units entered Nanking, a certain paymaster lieutenant found a Chinese ladies' shoe left off on the way while he was out to discharge an official business. He brought that shoe back to his quarters in order to show its wonderful fashion to his comrades. A gendarme, however, found out this fact and submitted a document to the courtmartial on suspicion of plunderage. The lieutenant, shedding tears in my presence, asserted his innocence, and as I admitted him to be innocent, I reported to my superior official to that effect.

"I remember that this case was finally found to be a minor offense not liable to prosecution. The supervision of the Japanese gendarmes in Nanking was extremely strict, and no consideration was shown even to any minor offenses.

"General MATSUI, immediately after a memorial service for the dead was held on December 18th, admonished us, senior officers, that military discipline should be more strictly maintained, and the prestige of our Imperial Army be raised and efforts be made to realize friendly relations between Japan and China without delay.

"After the fierce battles to capture Nanking,
I was deeply struck with this righteous and benevolent
mind of General MATSUI, and, in later operations and
defenses I always endeavored to exalt this spirit more
and more. And after my return home I dedicated a
statuette of 'Kannon' (T.N. the Goddess of Mercy)
to the" -- I am unable to read the name of the temple -"located in Fukui Prefecture, in order to pray for
the repose of the soul of both Japanese and Chinese

"I was in Nanking until the morning of December 24th. No criminal was found among those under my command. The natives became very familiar with the Japanese soldiers; some worked as cooks, and no one entertained fear toward the Japanese soldiers. And I never heard any shot inside or cutside the walls after the fell of Nanking. If a machine gun was fired, its report ought to be heard, but I never heard such sort of sound.

killed in battles and for the peace of the Orient.

"After the hostilities in Nanking was over,
my units undertook the responsibility of defense of
Kiating. When one night a guard of the battalion
headquarters under my command poured oil into a lamp,
an oil can caught fire owing to his mistake, and
there happened a fire by which the quarters burned

down. On the charge of this accidental fire I was subjected to a reprimand in accordance with the Army Disciplinary Law. The battalion commander was put on a good behavior, and the guard commander and that guard was heavily imprisoned. By order of Commander MATSUI military discipline was maintained in such a manner, and even a bit of unlawful act was never overlooked.

"Besides the above I do not remember any unlawful act which occurred among my units.

"On this 12th day of September 1947" -- and signed by the deponent, WAKIZAKA, Jiro.

I next offer in evidence, if the Tribunal please, defense document 2714. I am informed there will be no cross-examination, and we will, therefore, not call the witness.

THE FRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2714 will receive exhibit No. 3396.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3396 and received in evidence.)

MR. MATTICE: I will now read exhibit 3396, omitting the caption and beginning with the paragraph numbered 2.

23.

"About the middle of September 1937 I joined the Shanghai Expeditionary Army, took the field as Battalion Commander of the 1st Battalion of the 19th Infantry Regiment, and participated in the siege of Nanking. At the time I held the rank of a major.

"Towards the end of September 1937 we landed near Woosung, Shanghai, and participated in the bettle.

"In the middle of November we were ordered to pursue the enemy in the direction of Soochow with strict instructions from superior not to destroy or burn houses unless absolutely unavoidable for operational reasons. I instructed my subordinates of this and looked over them.

"Aside from the railway station and its vicinity being destroyed by bombings, Soochow was left
intact. One of the natives there told me that the
city was kept from destruction because the inhabitants
of the city pleaded to the Chinese Army with money
to retreat from the city without fighting in the city
or destroying and plundering it.

"At a place 1 li (TN: 2.5 miles) east of Wushin and inside its city limits, the resistance of the Chinese forces caused a battle to break out which caused some damages to the houses nearby. Upon my inspection

after the battle I found some of the centents of the provision warehouse had seen carried off. I thereupon posted guards at once to guard the warehouses and prohibited the further removal of the contents by any unauthorized individual. After having reported the situation to the Intendance Department of the division, we turned the page over into their hands. This sort of step had been ordered by superiors long before, and we acted accordingly, not only at Wushin but everywhere.

"6. A fierce battle was fought at a place which lies between the Mopan mountain range and Nanking. Almost all the houses there had been burned down before the occupation by the Japanese forces and the marks of plunder were strikingly evident. Nothing was left there to be utilized by the Japanese forces. We camped in tents, or even without them, and made the advance with no other provisions than our field rations.

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of the army was strictly controlled and no arbitrary action was allowed even to capitalize on opportune operationed chances. But more detailed instructions were given by about December 8 highet authorities regarding the attack upon Nanking. According to the schedule our unit was to begin the attack on Yuhuatai about December 10, turn to Kwanhwamen on the afternoon of the 12th and proceed into the attack from about the 13th. But upon receiving the news of the fall of Nanking, we only engaged in a clean-up campaign near the gate and then turned back to Tangsuchen on the same night. We entered Nanking on the 15th, deployed and were billeted in houses in the southeast part of Nanking. Almost all the houses in the city were left undestroyed. There were some small fires around the billeting area, but none were started by my unit. As the higher authorities had warned us against fire incessently before and after our entry into Nanking, my officers and men were especially careful. Upon entry into Nanking, I prohibited my men to go out into the city except on official errands and I instructed them to respect and protect foreign properties and their rights and not to inflict any harm on the Chinese folks but to endear them when they went on those official

"7. In our crive against Nanking the novement

3

4

errands. I placed a caretaker of fire at every billet to whom I gave stern warnings and notices concerning fire prevention. This step was taken at every front every time we billeted.

5

"8. After my unit's entry into Nanking, we were billeted in such a way as to be able to start movement of pursuing the enemy at any time in accordance with the instructions given to us from higher up. Our actions were as follows during our stay at Nanking:

9

11

12

13

8

"December 13, entered Nanking through the Kwanghuamen gate, mopped up the remnants of the enemy east of the creek that runs from south to north on the west side of the Tunkttsinmen gate; pushed on to Tangshuichen that night.

14

"December 14, mopped up around Tangshuichen and returned to Nanking about 7 p.m. the 15th.

18

December 16, the ceremony of reading the Imperial

20

19

suffering from lice, we cleaned up their personal

Rescript was held. As all the officers and men were

21

effects with boiling water. On the 17th the triumphant

22

entry of the Commanding General, MATSUI, into Nanking

24

On the 18th the army's memorial services for the dead

took place. Worked on moving our camping quarters.

were held. Moving of camping quarters continued. On the 19th regimental memorial services were held on the

20th, guard escort for the commanding general of the army on the occasion of his inspection of the battle-field and hospitals; on the 21st and 22d cleaned up the battlefield of Yuhuatai and searched for missing persons; on the 23d prepared for our departure. On the 24th we moved to Kunshan. In addition to the above-sadd daily routines, various reports of battles, communicating to the bereaved families, paying of allowances, soldier saving deposits, communicating the families and receiving and distributing mails and packages among the soldiers from the homeland of several months, etc., all of which took so much time that we could not even have a rest.

"9. When we were billeted at Nanking, going in and out of the billets was very closely guarded. I got instructions to train the sentinels in the way of questioning the people, so that they might not cause trouble to the law-abiding natives on account of language difficulties. I obeyed to the letter. No superior ordered to plunder or render violence, much less the Commanding General of the Army MATSUI. On the contrary, we were directed on Lecember 19 by General MATSUI and on the 22d by our regimental commander to be kind and good towards Chinese folks so that they would place a trust in the Japanese Army.

"10. About December 19 I went inspecting along the road which runs from Chungshanru to Hsiakwan on horseback and found no damage was done to the houses there. Before our entry into Nanking rumors were current of the awful destruction of Nanking caused by the Japanese Army's bombings, but actually witnessing it. I was able to confirm that it was untrue. I found 8 no corpses of Chinese soldiers at Hsiakwan either. 9 December 24 my unit turned back to the east, reached 10 Kunshan about January 5, and was ordered to stay there 11 for garrison duty.

12

16

19

"11. During the time of our garrison at Kunshan, a body of American pastors and medical men came over to inspect churches and hospitals there on the introduction of Lieutenant Colonel OKA of the Special Service Division at Shanghai. They were pleased and expressed gratitude to find those institutions had suffered only small damages and were in good order and entrusted them to my custody. After having a luncheon together we took a souvenir picture and 22 parted. For about a month after that I also did gar-23 rison duty at Soochow. There the houses were standing 24 side by side as they ever were. The streets were 25 well arranged and trade was flourishing. No illegal conduct of the Japanese Forces were witnessed there

4 5

and we were in harmonious terms with the native inhabitants. Recreation facilities were so well provided for the Japanese Army and not one irregularity occurred.

"On this 8th day of October, 1947."
Signed by the Deponent, NISHIJIMA, Takeshi.

I next offer in evidence, if the Tribunal please, defense document 2715, and in connection with it we will also offer, because they relate to the same matter, defense document 2764.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2715

will receive exhibit No. 3397. Defense document 2764

will receive exhibit No. 3397-A.

(Whereupon, document 2715 was marked defense exhibit No. 3397 and received in evidence. Document 2764 was marked defense exhibit No. 3397-A and received in evidence.)

MR. MATTICE: Reading first exhibit 3397, which is titled, "Instructions":

"I was deeply moved by the gracious words that His Majesty was pleased to grant me again at this time when the Central China Expeditionary Forces carried at one charge the walled city of Nanking, capital of China, by dint of the fierce pursuit following the battle of Hutung, and thus have accomplished this

historically splendid undertaking. It was thanks to the very hard fighting and exertions on the part of the soldiers who participated in the fighting that such a humble one as I am could discharge his duty and meet His Majesty's expectations. Therefore, I cannot but express my deep gratitude for the efforts that have been made.

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

"It should be borne in mind, however, that our goal is a long way off, and the responsibility assumed by the army is all the more heavy, so that you should not relax in your efforts in the least. Hence all of you should strive to render all the more service to the state. All officers and men should realize the true significance of command, should enforce stricter military discipline, should concentrate their energy on education and training based on experience, and should strive for the perfection of the fighting strength of the army, thereby making the preparations for the next military operation complete and wanting nothing. At the same time, you should be on stricter guard against possible enemy attack, should keep military secrets as best you can, and should maintain public peace and order, thus afforcing no opportunity for recalcitrants to arise. On the other hand, with the long-range view of the future prosperity of the

Orient and in accordance with the traditions of Japan, you should be merciful to the Chinese masses groaning under misgovernment, and should cooperate in pacifying them through guidance and enlightenment. The principle of the Imperial Army, of course, remains the same irrespective of whether fighting is carried on or not. Every one of you should be cautious with regard to conduct on your part and should exert your utmost efforts to maintain and augment our splendid battle results, thereby enhancing the prestige of the Imperial Army.

"The above are my instructions.

"On Lecember 18, 1937, MATSUI, Iwane, Commander of the Central China Expeditionary Forces."

Exhibit 3398 is the certificate which is attached to that, and I will not read that unless the Tribunal wishes it.

THE PRESIDENT: 3397-A.

MR. MATTICE: We now offer defense document 2667.

May we call the witness, NAKASAWA, Mitsuo.

1	MITSUO NAKASAWA, called as a witness
	on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn,
2	testified through Japanese interpreters as follows
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY 14. MATTICE:
5	Q You may give your name and address to the
6	Tribunal, please.
7	
8	A My name is NAKAS.WA, Mitsuo; my address,
9	Sakai-Mura, Higashi Yatsushiro Gori, Yamanashi Pre-
10	fecture.
11	MR. MATTICE: May the witness be shown defense
12	document 2667, please?
13	Q Is that your affidavit, Mr. NAKASAWA?
14	Λ Yes.
15	Q You have signed it, have you not?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Are the matters and things stated in that
18	
19	affidavit true?
20	A Yes, they are true.
21	MR. MATTICE: I offer it in evidence, if
22	your Honor please.
23	THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.
24	BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal,
25	may I make objection, please, in paragraph 7, page 4,

at line 8, to the sentence beginning with the words,

	"Judging from the circumstances"
1	THE PRESIDENT: I cannot find it, Brigadier.
3	BRIGADIER NOLAN: And in line 13 of the
4	same paragraph, to the sentence beginning
5	THE PRESIDENT: That is the third line, not
6	the eighth.
7	BRIGADIER NOLAN: I mean line 3 of paragraph
8	7, commencing with the words, "Judging from the cir-
9	cumstances"
10	THE PRESIDENT: You object to the whole of
11	the balance of the paragraph?
12	BRIGADIER NOLAN: Just to that sentence there.
13	THE PRESIDENT: Yes.
14	BRIGADIER NOLAN: And to the sentence in
15	line 13, in the same paragraph, commencing with the
16	words, "Consequently, it was also quite obvious "
18	That is line 8 of paragraph 7.
19	Our objection is based on the grounds that
20	these are conclusions drawn by the deponent and so is
21	usurping the function of the Tribunal.
22	MR. MATTICE: I think the objection is well
23	taken, if the Tribunal please, and the two sentences
24	of that paragraph may go out.
25	THE PRESIDENT: The document is admitted, with
	the exception of those two sentences, on the usual

terms.

1

4 5

3

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

19 20

18

22

21

23

"2. Thile the 16th Division was carrying out 24 a pursuit attack towards Chuyung in December 1937, 25 orders were received to attack Manking on or about December 3rd, and started its advance but on or about

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May I ask, Mr. President, if you please, that the first sentence of the second paragraph of section 4 on page 3 -- first and second, the first and second paragraphs, be referred to the translation board, as there seems to be some doubt about the accuracy of the translation.

THE PRESIDENT: They are referred accordingly. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2667 will receive exhibit No. 3398.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3398 and received in evidence.)

MR. MATTICE: I will read exhibit 3398, omitting the caption, starting with the paragraph numbered "1."

"1. I am a former lieutenant-general, and from November 1937 to the end of January 1938 participated in the siege of Nanking as Chief of Staff of the 16th Division under the command of the Shanghai Expeditionary Force.

the 8th of the same month was ordered to stop further advance at a point three or four kilometers from Nanking. Furthermore, we were ordered that, as Nanking was the capital of China and there were many foreign interests, cultural and historical remains, and cultural institutions, not to destroy them, and, that at the time of triumphal entry into the city, to send a select unit in order to placate and treat the citizens kindly and to maintain order. I had this point made known thoroughly with the respective units. 10

"3. What troubled us most during the attack on Nanking were the battle fought in the vicinity of Tsuchinshan where the 33rd Regiment faced the enemy and that fought in the vicinity of Chungshan-ling, the divisions main front. The division paid no small price to capture Nanking without damaging Tsuchinshan and the Hsiaoling of the Ming Dynasty which were specified in the orders. The Chinese units occupying the vicinity of Chungshan-ling were not only the greatest obstruction to the divisions front, but also by firing at the rear and flank of the 33rd Regiment, which was attacking Tsuchinshan, they harassed the regiment to no end. Still, our Army had to stand the disadvantage of refraining from using artillery pieces, not to mention of the use of heavy infentry

9

11

13 14

16 17

18

21

22

23

weapons. These facts hindered our advance generally and we were forced to suffer a great loss needlessly. However, because of our sacrifice, Chushan-ling and Hsiaoling of the Ming Dynasty were kept intact, and the regiment, which finally occupied Tsuchinshan in spite of the difficulties, was given a letter of appreciation from the army commander after the cam-

"4. The 16th Division, which had reached the walls of Nanking at Chungshan-men at the dawn of December 13, 1937, sent in about two battalions into the city and had them sweep the area previously indicated, that is, the area embracing Raipingshan, Shanyuan-men, Hsiakwan and Chungshan Road.

"The sweeping was continued on the next day also. On the fifteenth of December the 16th Division headquarters and a small unit entered the city, but no inhabitants had evacuated from the area under the charge of the division. On December 23rd dispositions of troops were changed. A part of the 16th Division was given new disposition of guarding the inside and outside of the city, substituting another unit which entered the city previously, and remained in Nanking until about January 20th of the following year.

"5. The refugees' area became, after the

7 8

paign.

change

change in disposition of December 23rd, included in the garrison district of the 16th Division. The area was marked out clearly at the time of entry and was guarded strictly simultaneously with the entry and even officers were not permitted to go in or out of this area unless they had special permission. After the Central China Area Army and the Commander of the Shanghai Expeditionary Army entered the city, instructions were often issued to maintain strict military and moral discipline and I passed these orders to every unit.

"6. At the time of the occupation of Nanking all the responsible administrative personnel had
already fled from the city. There wasn't a single
administrative personnel remaining, and consequently
the Japanese troops could find no person with whom they
could negotiate in regard to maintaining peace and
order. Indeed, the situation was such that our troops
had no other alternative but to take over into their
hands the maintenance of order. It was extremely inconvenient for both the Japanese troops and the Chinese
inhabitants.

"7. After entering the city we found on the highway from the Chungshan-men of the city limits of Nanking to Hsiakwan a great deal of discarded uniforms,

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

25

sabers, ammunition, rifles, and caps. And yet when we made our sweep inside of the city limits of Nanking, there were no Chinese to be seen with the exception of the refugee area. Therefore, being unable to trust that all the inhabitants in the refugees' area were peaceable citizens, the necessity of investigating the inhabitants of the area came about.

"8. Thus, a Sino-Japanese Joint Commission was organized on Dec. 25th to investigate the inhabitants.

"The method of investigation was to interrogate or inspect the Chinese one by one in the presence of both Japanese and Chinese and judge whether he was a straggler or not by consultation of the Japanese soldiers and the Chinese committees; for the general people, certificates of residence were issued. Those who were determined to be stragglers by these means were turned over to the Headquarters of the Shanghai Expeditionary Force. Accordingly, it is indeed not true that they were slaughtered.

"9. As almost all the villages outside of Manking were set on fire by Chinese troops putting the so-called 'scorch earth' operation into practice as they retreated so that the Japanese troops didn't even have any houses to billet and almost all the units were forced

-

/

.

forced to camp out. Common as such 'scorch earth' operations of the Chinese troops were, the burning of homes were especially numerous in the area of this battle.

were traces of fire here and there when we first entered. There were said to be fires started by the Chinese troops as they retreated. Because of the necessity of maintaining billeting facilities in cold weather, the Headquarters ordered every unit to be on the alert against fire at all times, and a responsible man was picked to control fire in each unit. But in spite of these precautions, there were times when we caught Chinese girls with certificates of residence starting fires.

"10. I did receive reports from the military police of a few instances of plunder committed by Japanese soldiers. However, as the residents fled their belongings were carried with them and most of their houses were practically empty. I never did hear of any organized or mass plundering. Needless to salit is absolutely without fact that the Headquarters ordered, connived, or permitted such illegal acts. I was informed directly by Chinese victims that most of the plundering and destruction in the battlefields

of China were the common acts of retreating Chinese troops and those desperate people who took chances to force themselves in the area.

-

6,940

>

of China were the common acts of retreating Chinese troops and those desperate people who took chances to force themselves in the area.

...

-

L effle & Wolf

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The protection of foreign interests and cultural institutions was strictly ordered by General MATSUI and was made known down to the last unit ander his command. The Chinese, however, were skillful in hiding behind foreign interests. They used to especially abuse the use of foreign flags and there were cases in which straggler Chinese soldiers were found hiding under a foreign flag. I got such reports many times from the Chinese even within the Nanking fortress. Consequently, it is true that the Japanese troops could not at once identify the existence of foreign flags as being synonymous of foreign interests with confidence, and sometimes they could not help raiding places that impressed them as being dangerous. It is regrettable that these raids gave rise to all kinds of complications.

"12. There is no such fact that organized rapes were committed by Japanese soldiers. There were a few scattered offenses concerning discipline as I recall, but I know they were all punished in accordance with the laws.

"13. The places where buried bodies were said to have been found according to the evidence presented by the prosecution are those places where

.....

2

3

5

6

0

9

10

11

12 13

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

the Chinese troops had built positions and defended themselves as in the case of the area between 'Chungshan Mer' and 'Ma-Gun' or places where there were facilities for the receiving of the dead and wounded from their positions as in the case of Taiping-Men Fukueishan and the vicinity. It is a fact that many of the soldiers of both sides were killed in these areas. But never was there any mass butchery committed at these places.

"14. When the 16th Division came to guard Nanking and its vicinity its efforts were concentrated on maintaining peace and order. As the result order was restored in the city, the confused people were straightened out and they were gradually returning to their homes with confidence in the Japanese troops. General MATSUI's order to treat the inhabitants kindly was so thoroughgoing that as early as the end of they year the Assembly of the Maintenance of Public Order was organized and at the inaugural ceremony held on January 1, 1938, thousands upon thousands of Chinese gathered at the public square in front of Kulou, the place of ceremony, and cheered. Subsequently, the number of residents was increasing and even peddlers were seen more and more. It is absolutely not true that illegal and violent acts of the Japanese troops

were frightening the inhabitants at that time. "23rd of September, 1947." Signed by the witness. You may cross-examine. THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

3

2

1

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

> 17 18

20

21

22

23 24

25

BY BRIGADIER NOLAN:

General NAKASAWA, you were Chief of Staff of the 16th Division?

Yes.

What army was that division in?

At the end of November, it came under the command of the Shanghai Expeditionary Forces.

THE INTERPRETER: Not "November"; "October."

And, under whose command was it at the time of the capture of Nanking?

Under General MATSUI.

But, it was a component part of one of General MATSUI's armies. What army?

It belonged to the Shanghai Expeditionary Forces under General MATSUI.

And, did it belong to the Shanghai Expeditionary Forces under General MATSUI at the time of the capture of Nanking?

At the time of the fall of Nanking, the Shanghai Expeditionary Forces was under the command of Prince ASAKA, and this Expeditionary Force was in turn under the command of General MATSUI.

And, was the 6th Division, of which you were Chief of Staff, part of the Shanghai

25

Expedition	onary Force, or was it part of the 10th Army?
A	It was part of the Shanghai Expeditionary
Force.	
Q	All right. Where was the headquarters of
the Shang	ghai Expeditionary Force at the time of the
fall of N	Vanking?
A	It was somewhere to the east of Nanking.
I have fo	orgotten the name of the place.
Q	And, after the fall of the city, where
was the h	neadquarters?
A	At the time of the fall of Nanking, the .
headquart	ters were at Tansuichen and after that with-
in the Ci	ity of Nanking.
Q	When was the headquarters set up in the City
of Nankir	ng?
A	I recall that it was about the 15th of
December.	. This is the divisional headquarters.
Q	Was the headquarters of the Shanghai
Expedition	onary Force in the City of Nanking after the
fall of t	the city?
A	I recall that it was not located within
Nanking i	immediately after the fall of Nanking.

When was it located in Nanking?

same time as the formal entry into the City of

The headquarters entered the city at the

Nanking on the 17th of December, but I do not recall accurately just when the headquarters itself was 2 formally established, whether it took over from a preceding unit or just what actually happened. THE INTERPRETER: "I do not recall whether the headquarters was actually established in Nanking immediately after the fall of Nanking or just exactly 8 what the date of the formal establishment of the headquarters was." 10 Did the 10th Army take part in the attack 11 upon Nanking? 12 A Yes, I believe it did. 13 Was it part of the Shanghai Expeditionary 14 Force? 15 I do not think that the 10th Army was a 16 part of the Shanghai Expeditionary Forces. 17 Where was the headquarters, after the fall 18 of the city, of the 10th Army? 19 I do not know. I had no connection with the 20 10th Army. Therefore, I do not know. 21 22 Do you know what divisions were in the 10th 23 Army? 24 I do not know for sure, but I believe that

the 6th Division and the 114th Division formed the

10th Army, but, as I have said before, since I was

not attached or had any connection with the 10th Army, I do not know for sure. Was the 8th Division part of the 10th Army? 3 I do not know pertaining -- matters pertain-4 ing to the 10th Army. Now, tell me the numbers of the divisions 6 in the Shanghai Expeditionary Force at the time of the capture of Nanking. The 16th Division, the 9th Division, part of the 13th Division. As for the others, I do not 10 know where they were located. 12 Were the 3rd and 11th Divisions part of the . 13 Shanghai Expeditionary Force at that time? 14 I believe they were a part of it. 15 Now, in your affidavit, in paragraph 2, you tell us that at the time of the triumphant entry 17 into the city a select unit was sent in. That was 18 on the 17th of December, wasn't it?

immediately after the entry into Nanking occurred on the 13th and 14th of December. In your affidavit you say that at the time of the triumphal entry into the city a select unit was sent in, and that was on the 17th of December,

Those which were dispatched into Nanking

wasn't it?

19

7

11

20

21

22

23

A The units arrived at the walls of Nanking
on the morning of the 13th, and on that day and on
the following day, two battalions were sent into the
city for mopping-up operations.

Q Was a select unit sent into the city at the

Q Was a select unit sent into the city at the time of the triumphal entry into the city on the 17th of December?

A No, that is not so. At the time of the entry into Nanking -- the ceremony of the entry into Nanking on the 17th of December, the units were not limited to those alone.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

sent in "in order to placate and treat the citizen kindly and to maintain order."

Had there been reports of outrages or atrocities which caused the dispatch of this special unit into the city?

A The two battalions were sent into the city to mop up remnants -- enemy remnants.

O You say in your affidavit it was "to placate and treat the citizen kindly and to maintain order."

"ad there been reports of disorder and unkind treatment?

A I believe the meaning of this second paragraph is that these units were given orders to do so.

As I don't have my affidavit on hand --

orders to do so too?

A Yes.

And were there reports of ill treatment toward the citizens of Nanking before this special unit was sent in?

A I should like to have my affidavit shown to me. I think you are confusing the first units that entered the city with the units who entered later to mop up the remnants.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: Night the witness be shown

2

3

)

0

/

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

20 21

19

22

23 24

25

sent in "in order to placate and treat the citizen kindly and to maintain order."

Fad there been reports of outrages or atrocities which caused the dispatch of this special unit into the city?

The two battalions were sent into the city to mop up remnants -- enemy remnants.

O You say in your affidavit it was "to placate and treat the citizen kindly and to maintain order."

"ad there been reports of disorder and unkind treatment?

A I believe the meaning of this second paragraph is that these units were given orders to do so. As I don't have my affidavit on hand --

o "Teren't the first units that went in given orders to do so too?

A Yes.

And were there reports of ill treatment toward the citizens of Nanking before this special unit was sent in?

A I should like to have my affidavit shown to me. I think you are confusing the first units that entered the city with the units who entered later to mop up the remnants.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: Might the witness be shown

the original Japanese of exhibit No. 3398?

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

Will the witness direct his attention to the last portion of paragraph 2 in the English?

THE WITNESS: The words of my affidavit are as follows: "We were ordered by General MATSUI at the time of entry into the city to send a select unit in order to placate and treat the citizens kindly and maintain order."

Did you omit the word "triumphal" in that translation?

A Yes. My meaning is simply "entry into the city."

O All right.

A I feel that what you have been telling me -in what you have been telling me up to now you have
been confusing this with paragraph 4: "... at the
dawn of December 13, 1937, about two battalions were
sent into the city in order to sweep certain areas,"
and the names of the areas are given. I believe you
confused this with the part of paragraph 2 that you
have been citing: "We were ordered by General MATSUI
to send a select unit in order to placate and treat
the citizens kindly," etc.

2

1

4 5

(

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

16

18

10

20

21

22

24

3

5

7

9

11

13 14

15

16

18

19 20

21

23 24 Till you direct your attention, please, to the second paragraph of section 4 of your affidavit in which you say that on the 23rd of December part of the 16th Division substituted for another unit which had entered the city previously.

à

CROSS

What was the other unit?

- A It was a unit belonging to another division.
- Obviously, but what was the number of it?
- A The 9th Division.
- O What other divisions were within the walls of the city after the fall besides the 9th and 16th?
- A I don't know the names of any units other than those belonging to the 9th Division. I didn't know any divisions except that one which was in front of our division and those which were on both sides.
 - C And what were they?
- A The 9th Division was on our left and then there were divisions belonging to the 10th Army on the other sides, but I have forgotten which division was to the right and which division was to the left. I have forgotten their numbers.
- In paragraph 10 of your affidavit you state that you did receive reports from the military police of a few instances of plunder committed by Japanese soldiers. Was that in Manking?

5

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A Yes, inside the city walls.
- How many instances were there?
- A I have forgotten the number.
- o "hat was taken?
- . Goods of little value.
- Q That kind of goods?

A According to my recollection, there were a few articles of daily use -- some food and things of that nature.

O "Till you look at paragraph 11 of your affidavit, at the very end of that paragraph, in which you state that sometimes soldiers could not help raiding places that impressed them as being dangerous, and you go on to say that these raids gave rise to all kinds of complications. "hat kinds of complications?

A Well, our soldiers would see a foreign flag flying but would believe they were flags being used by the Chinese forces under false pretences. They would go to these places and would then find that these foreign flags actually did represent foreign residences, and the foreigners living there would be irritated.

23 24

25

	Q In paragraph 12 you say, "There were a few
1	scattered offenses concerning discipline." What kind o
3	offenses were these, General?
4	A For instance, attempts to enter refugee areas,
5	attempts to cohabit with Chinese women; things of that
6	nature, I believe.
7	Q By that you mean the offense of illegal entry
8	and rape, or the attempt to commit such offenses?
9	A There were some attempts, and I believe also
10	that in cases of rape there were some actual cases.
11	? How many were there?
12	A I don't recall. I believe they were few in
13	number.
14	o In paragraph 13 of your affidavit, in speaking
15	about places where bodies were buried, you refer to
16	evidence presented by the prosecution. What evidence
17	are you referring to?
18	A I have forgotten the number of the document,
19	but it is a document which was published by the
20	charitable which originated from the charitable
22	organization in Nanking.
23	n Well, are you suggesting that the numbers of

bodies buried were the bodies of soldiers who fell in

A Yes. Not merely within the city walls, but

the fighting within the walls of Nanking?

the greater number of them were found in the fortified areas immediately outside the city walls.

Q And were there women and children amongst the number?

A What do you mean by that?

P Well, in the number of dead that were picked up, according to the evidence of the prosecution there were women and children. Were these killed outside the wall?

A Since I am not testifying that I saw these bodies myself, I do not know.

Q Then you don't know where they came from, do you?

A I am not speaking of bodies I saw myself. I was referring to the evidence presented by the prosecution.

And you were endeavoring to explain it away by something of which you have no personal knowledge?

A I wanted to say -- What I wanted to say was that the bodies referred to in the evidence presented by the prosecution were found in fortified areas -- were found in the area of battle, and furthermore, I wanted to say that these bodies were the bodies of soldiers.

Q Did you see the bodies?

A Yes.

Q How many were there?

1 2

4

6

9

10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

21

20

22

24

25 BY THE PRESIDENT:

A I don't recall the number, but I do remember having seen dead bodies lying on the battlefield. However, I do not mean by this to say that I saw all the bodies referred to in the prosecution's evidence. I merely wish to say that I did see some bodies on the battlefield.

O In paragraph 14 of your affidavit, the last

o In paragraph 14 of your affidavit, the last paragraph, mention is made of an inaugural ceremony on the 1st of January 1938 of the Assembly of the Maintenance of Public Order, and you go on to say that the gathering was in the public square in front of Kulou, the place of the ceremony, and thousands upon thousands of Chinese cheered.

That was the day that the Russian Embassy was burned?

'A Yes.

O Did you see the burning?

A Yes.

o Who set it of fire?

A I don't know.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: That is all I have to ask.

THE PRESIDENT: I have some questions on be-

O What was your rank when you were Chief of Staff

```
of the 16th Army?
 1
           Chief of Staff of a division.
 2
           What rank of general officer?
 3
       A
           I was a colonel.
 4
           When were you promoted to lieutenant-general?
           In October of 1941.
 6
           Were you decorated for your part in the capture
sof Nanking?
          I do not know whether the decoration was for
10 the fighting in that Nanking area itself or not.
          Who was the Commander of the 16th Division at
12the time of entry into Nanking? .
          Lieutenant-General NAKAJIMA, Kasago.
13
14
       0
          Where is he?
15
          He has passed away.
      A
          Who were the Chinese members of the commission
17 that was organized after the entry into Nanking?
18
          I do not remember.
19
          What happened to the Chinese stragglers who
 were turned over to the headquarters of the Shanghai
 Expeditionary Force?
          They were treated as prisoners of war.
23
          Were they tried for any offense?
24
          That is a matter for superior headquarters.
 I do not know what happened later.
```

THE PRESIDENT: Yes. That is all. I have no further questions on behalf of any Member of the Tri-bunal. MR. MATTICE: No redirect examination, if your Honor please. May the witness be excused? THE PRESIDENT: He is excused on the usual terms. (Whereupon, the witness was e -cused.)

	14	
G	1	MR. MATTICE: We now call the witness IINUMA,
r e	2	Mamoru.
e n	3	
b e	4	MAMORU IINUMA, recalled as a witness on
g	5	behalf of the defense, having been previously
&	6	sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as
Y e d d	7	follows:
	8	THE PRESIDENT: He is still on his former
	9	oath.
n	10	MR. MATTICE: May the witness be sworn
	11	strike.
	12	THE PRESIDENT: I understand he has already
	13	been sworn; I may be mistaken.
	14	MR. MATTICE: Yes. May the witness be
	15	shown defense document 2626?
	16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	17	BY MR. MATTICE:
	18	Q Is that your affidavit, Mr. Witness?
	19	A Yes.
	20	Q Are the matters and things contained therein
	21	true?

MR. MATTICE: Offered in evidence, if the

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

Yes, they are.

A

Tribunal please.

23

24

25

S

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2626 will receive exhibit No. 3399.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3399 and received in evidence.)

THE PRESIDENT: Has this witness been sworn before? We seem to think he has been but we must make sure of it.

MR. MATTICE: Let me inquire.

Mr. Witness --

THE PRESIDENT: Do not ask him. We will have to ascertain independently. Captain Van Meter says he has been and he knows them all.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: Mr. President, our records show that this witness has appeared before the Tribunal previously.

THE PRESIDENT: We must ascertain this independently, but I will ask him whether he has given evidence before this Tribunal before.

MR. MATTICE: You may answer, Mr. Witness.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I have appeared on this witness stand before.

MR. MATTICE: I will read exhibit 3399, beginning at paragraph numbered 2:

"2. I am ex-Lieutenant-General. When the

2

4

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

Expeditionary Force in Shanghai was organized, I was appointed the Chief of Staff under Commander in Chief MATSUI and participated in the battles of Shanghai and Nanking.

- "3. After the Expeditionary Force in Shanghai was organized General MATSUI gave officers under him the following instruction and ordered them to drive it home to their men.
- "(1) The battle in the vicinity of Shanghai is aimed at the subjugation of Chinese Army challenging us, therefore protect and patronize Chinese officials and people as far as possible.
- "(2) Always bear in mind not to involve foreign residents and armies in trouble and maintain close liaison with foreign authorities in order to avoid misunderstanding.
- "4. Officers and men took unusual trouble to carry out the above instruction. During the battle of Shanghai, Chinese soldiers and people, as well as Japanese Army personnel, were given medical treatment and medicines were distributed among them to prevent infectious diseases from spreading. When the Japanese Army attacked the southern district of Shanghai (Nanshin), the units in the front line took inconceivable pains technically not to drop shells on the

district so that the peace and order of the City was maintained.

3

4

5

"The above instruction was repeatedly given on all occasions and its execution was strictly super-

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

vised even until after the battle of Nanking. "5. Immediately after the battle of Shanghai General MATSUI interviewed the representatives of America, England, France, etc., and apologized

for the damage on their nationals. He clarified Japan's position under the circumstances and requested them to cooperate with us in bringing the war to the conclusion in a short space of time. Never was I given from General MATSUI any order whatsoever to make light of foreign rights and interests and never

did I see or hear that the officers and men under his command perpetrated such deeds.

"6. On December 2, 1937, the Expeditionary Force in Shanghai was ordered to capture Nanking. At that time General MATSUI in the capacity of Commander in Chief of the Central China Army Groups gave a detailed instruction concerning the capture of Nanking. I, as Chief of Staff, transmitted to the units under MATSUI the order to capture Nanking and at the same time admonished them 'to be tolerant and benevolent towards Chinese soldiers (if they do not dare to

resist) as well as people, and pacify and protect them. "

The seventh paragraph I will omit reading. Eighth paragraph on page 4:

On December 10, the order to attack the castle of Nanking was issued.

"It was 33d Infantry Regiment, 16th Division, that attacked Mt. Tzekin-shan."--

We will omit the reading of that paragraph also.

Paragraph 9 on page 5:

"9. Despite the fact that at the fall of Nanking nearly all of principal houses outside the walls of the city were burnt or destroyed and there was no house to quarter Japanese Army, more troops than expected entered the city. So by General MATSUI's order I made all the troops, except 16th Division, retire to far-off esstern districts, and intended to restore the order of the city by ordering them to maintain strict military discipline and public morals.

"10. I made three inspection tours on each day, December 16, 20 and 31, 1937, but found no corpse in the streets. In the neighborhood of Siakwan I saw scores of bodies of soldiers killed in battle but as for the alleged tens of thousands of slaughtered bodies

4

3

5 6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

2.1

never did I see them even in a dream. I admit that there were small fires but never did I see a case of intentional incendiarism nor receive a report about it. In the city within the castle walls there were a few burnt houses, but nearly all of them remained as they had been. I always gave instruction to Japanese army to pay careful attention to fire, warning them heavy responsibility for it.

"11. After the entry to Nanking a few cases of plunder and outrage were reported to General MATSUI. He regretted that these unlawful acts were done despite his repeated instructions. He instructed the officers to do their best to prevent the occurrence of misdeed and insisted on a severe punishment on lawlessness. Consequently such offenders were punished. Afterward, military discipline was strictly maintained and I heard that even 16th Division lodged a protest against the procedure of Legal Department.

"12. I was told that some troops removed articles of furniture but they said that they did so to facilitate quartering. They said that in requisition they compensated for the loss, but in most cases managers fled, so they put up a note to guarantee the compensation in quartering. A few soldiers removed articles of furniture privately and some

removed articles of furniture belonging to foreigners, but the matter was settled by returning the articles in question to their owners or by compensating for the loss, the offenders being punished. Of course Army Headquarters did never order to commit unlawful acts nor admitted them.

"13. As for the management of refugee district in Nanking, I ordered 16th Division to guard and protect the place, and admission to and from the place was limited to those who possessed certificates and military police guarded the place. Therefore, I believe the place cannot have been encroached on by men collectively, systematically or continuously. Neither did I hear of or see many incidents as proved by the prosecutor; consequently never did I make a like report to Commander in Chief MATSUI who naturally never heard of such incidents.

"14. I did not know that many protests had been made by the Nanking Security District Committee, so I never reported it to General MATSUI."

Cross-examine.

THE	PRESIDENT:	Brigadi

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal.

er Nolan.

CROSS-EXALINATION

BY BRIGADIER NOLAN:

General IINULA, what was your rank when you were Chief of Staff under General MATSUI in 1937?

I was Major General.

The attack on the city of Nanking was undertaken by two armies, the 10th and the Shanghai Expeditionary Force; is that so?

Yes, that is so.

And General YANAGAWA commended the 10th Army and Prince ASAKA the Shanghai Expeditionary Force?

Yes, that is so.

The Shanghai Expeditionary Force which attacked Nanking consisted of the 3rd, 9th, 11th, 13th and 16th Divisions, is that so?

There is a slight difference.

What is it, please?

Almost all of the 9th and 16th Divisions did take part. A part of the 3rd Division did take part. A part of the 13th Division was to have taken part also, but they did not arrive in time to participate in the fighting around the walls of Nanking.

Almost all of the 16th and 9th Divisions, a

2 3

4 5

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

part of the 3rd Division, and a part of the 13th
Division was supposed to reinforce our forces; but,
as they were late, they were not in time to join in the

fighting up to the fall of Nanking.

THE MONITOR: The last interpretation stands.

Q And now, General, you have told me all the divisions who didn't attack Nanking. Tell me those that did, please.

A Those that I just mentioned did participate.

of the 10th Army, I beg your pardon, the 6th, 8th and 114th divisions participated; is that so?

A I do not know the details concerning the 10th Army, but I believe the units which participated were parts of the 114th Division, the 8th Division, and a part of the 6th.

THE MONITOR: Correction again: 114th and the 6th and a portion or part of the 8th Division.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: Might the witness be shown, please, his Japanese copy of exhibit 3399?

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

Q If you will please look at paragraph 10, you will see that you make reference to the neighborhood of Siakwan where you saw soores of bodies of soldiers

killed	in	battle	

4

8

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

23

24

22

25

Yes.

Where is Siakwan, General IINULA?

It is outside the city walls of Nanking, to the west, on the banks of the Yangtze River.

In the same paragraph you say that you made inspection tours on the 16th, 20th and 31st of December. Were you in Nanking throughout that period or did you return on those later dates of the 20th and 31st?

A Our headquarters were practically just outside of Nanking, and on the 16th and on the 20th I went specially to Nanking from our headquerters for this inspection tour.

Q And when you say "our headquarters," do you mean General MATSUI's headquarters?

No, Prince ASAKA's headquarters.

But were you not Chief of Staff to General MATSUI?

Up to the beginning of December I was.

And after that you became Chief of Staff of the Army of General ASAKA?

Yes. A

Did you see any of the complaints which were made by the foreign citizens in the city of Nanking

beginni	ing about the 13th of December, 1937 and ending
in Febr	ruary of 1938?
A	No, I haven't.
Q	Did you see any of them?
A	None at all.
ú	Did you know that the foreign residents had
compla	ined?
A	I did not know whether the foreign resi-
dents	had protested, but I heard of incidents such
as the	stealing of a piano or of an automobile after
the in	cidents had occurred and took appropriate meas-
ures.	
Q	What unit are you referring to, the person-
nel of	which stole a piano?
A	I don't remember.
Q	Did you hear of any cases of murder or rape?
A	ar ar though
0	Of rape. When did you hear of that?
	I don't remember the date. Anyway, it was
after	our headquarters moved into Nanking.
(that efter the foll of the
city?	
A	It was after the 25th or 26th of December.
	Well, General MATSUI knew about these before

you did, according to your affidavit; is that a fact?

I believe that is possible. 1 Q Well, if you look at paragraph 11 of your 2 affidavit, it may refresh your memory. It says, "After the entry to Nanking a few cases of plunder and outrage were reported to General MATSUI." How long after the entry? 6 By the words "after the entry to Nanking" 7 I do not mean after the entry of our headquarters to Nanking but after our troops took Nanking. So do I, and how long after that was it? 10 Two or three days later. 11 Who told General MATSUI? 0 12 I believe it must have been the military 13 14 police. 15 Did you tell him? 0 A No. 17 Did the Japanese Consul General tell him? 18 That I do not know. 19 Do you know whether his divisional command-Q 20 ers told him? 21 I don't think that was possible. 22 Why not? Q 23 The chain of command is different. A

How is it different?

A Well, the system -- if a divisional commander

CROSS

24

wanted to report anything, either the commander of the division or his Chief of Staff would report it to the Chief of Staff, to General MATSUI, or to -- would report it to the Chief of Staff of the Army or to Prince ASAKA, and from there it would go to General MATSUI.

On the day of the triumphal entry into the city, the 17th of December, were you present?

A Yes.

Were all the Army commanders, their chiefs of staff, the divisional commanders and their chiefs of staff present at that time?

A The commander of the 13th Division and his chief of staff did not attend.

Q All the rest did?

A Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until halfpast nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Friday, 7 November, 1947 at 0930.)